Text of Prime Minister's Announcement of Decisi on to Discontinue Arrow 4 lobes mail.

OTTAWA, Feb. 20 (CP)— Text of Prime Minister Diefen-baker's announcement to the Commons today about cancellation of the Arrow jet interceptor

I wish to announce the decision relating to air defense which was foreshadowed in the statement given to the press on

The Government has carefully examined and re-examined the probable need for the Arrow aircraft and Iroquois engine — known as the CF-105 — the development of which has been continued pending a final deci-sion. It has made a thorough examination in the light of all the information available con-cerning the probable nature of the threats to North America in future years, the alternative means of defense against such threats, and the estimated costs thereof.

The conclusion arrived at is

is being given now to the conof North America is adequate
tractors. All outstanding commitments will of course be
Potential aggressors now seem

decision I have just announced upon Canada's ability to meet launched missiles.

Work on the original concept of the CF-105 commenced in the air force in 1952, and the first Government decision to proceed with the development and with the production of two lands with the land with the production of two prototypes was taken late in 1953. The plane was designed o meet the requirements of the RCAF for a successor to the CF-100 to be used in the dedense of Canada. At that time it was thought some 500 or 600 aircraft would be needed by the RCAF and their cost was forecast at about \$1,500,000 or \$2,-000,000 each.

From the beginning, however, it was recognized by the pre-vious government, and subse-quently by this Government that the development of an ad-vanced supersonic aircraft, such as the 105, and its complicated engine and weapon system was highly hazardous and therefore all decisions to proceed with it were tentative and subject to change in the light of experi-ence. This was known to the ence. This was known to the contractors undertaking the development, to the air force and to Parliament.

The development of the Arrow aircraft and the Iroquois engine has been a success, although, for various reasons, it has been much behind the original schedule. The plane and its engine have shown promise of achieving the high standard of technical performance intended and

are a credit to those who con-ceived and designed them and on development prior to Sep-translated the plans into reality, tember last.

Unfortunately these outstand—
The Government has taken no

The first modern long-range bombers with which Canada might be confronted came into operation over five years ago, but the numbers produced now appear to be much lower than was previously forecast. Thus the threat against which the CF-105 could be effective has not proved to be as serious as was forecast.

that the development of the Arrow aircraft and Iroquois engine should be terminated now.

Formal notice of termination

Formal notice of termination of North America is adequate.

tractors. All outstanding commitments will of course be
settled equitably.

In reaching this decision the
Government has taken fully into
account the present and prospective international situation
including the strategic consequencies of weapon develop
ment, and the effects of the

decision I have just appeared.

Potential aggressors now seem
more likely to put their effort
into missile development than
into increasing their homber
force. By the middle of 1962
the threat from the intercontinental ballistic missile will undoubtedly be greatly enhanced,
and the ICBM threat may be by submarine-

By the middle 1960s the mis-

sile seems likely to be the major threat and the long-range bomber relegated to supple-menting the major attack by these missiles. It would be only in this period, namely after mid-1962, that the CF-105 could be fully operational in the be ful RCAF.

The United States Government, after full and sympathetic consideration of proposals that the U.S. Air Force use the Arrow, reached the conclusion that it was not economical to do so. Already the U.S. Air Force has decided not to con-tinue with the further develop-ment and production of U.S. aircraft having the same general performance as the Arrow. The development of interceptor aircraft that now is proceeding in the United States and abroad is on different types.

Since my announcement last September, much work has been done on the use of a different control system and weapon in the Arrow. These changes have been found to be practical.

Although the range of the reraft has been increased, it is still limited.

It is estimated that with these changes the total average cost per unit for 100 operational aircraft could be reduced from the figure of about \$12,500,000 each to about \$7,800,000 each, including weapons, spare parts and the completion of develop-ment, but not including any of the sum of \$303,000,000 spent

Unfortunately these outstanding achievements have been overtaken by events. In recent months it has come to be realized that the bomber threat against which the CF-105 was intended to provide defense has diminished, and alternative means of meeting the threat have been developed much earlier than was expected.

The first modern long-range of staff now are engaged in further studies of the various alternatives for the improve-ment of our defenses.

Canadian requirements civilian aircraft are very small by comparison with this huge defense operation and frank-ness demands that I advise that at present there is no other work that the Government can assign immediately to the companies that have been working on the Arrow and its engine.

This decision is a vivid example of the fact that a rapidly changing defense picture re-quires difficult decisions, and the Government regrets the in-evitable impact of it upon production, employment and en-gineering work in the aircraft and related industries.

As will be appreciated this decision has been a very hard one for the Government to take, not only because of the imme-diate disturbance it is bound to cause to those who have been working on the Arrow and re-lated items, but because it lated items, but because it means terminating a project on which Canada has expended a very large amount of money and in which Canadians have

demonstrated the high level of

their technical work.
However, much as I might hope that the project be continued in the sense of pride of achievement to avoid immediate dislocations which are regret-table, defense requirements constitute the sole justification for defense procurement.

Having regard to the information and advice we have re-ceived, however, there is no other feasible or justifiable course open to us. We must not abdicate our responsibility to assure that the huge sums which it is our duty to ask Par-liament to provide for defense are being expended in the most effective way to achieve that purpose.

Now I wish to turn to another spect of defense.

As previously announced, the Government has decided to in-troduce the Bomarc guided missile and the Sage electronic control and computing equipment into the Canadian air defense system and to extend and strengthen the Pinetree radar control system by adding sev-eral additional large radar sta-tions and a number of small gap-filler radars.

Canadians will be glad to know that agreement in prin-ciple with the United States Defense Department now has been reached on the sharing of the costs of this program.

Under this arrangement, Car ada will assume financial r sponsibility for approximate.

one-third of the cost of these new projects. The Canadian share will cover the cost of construction of bases and unit equipment, while the American share of approximately twothirds of the cost will cover the acquisition of technical equip-

Such division of functions is necessary for the reason that the United States is well advanced in the planning and implementation of this program and the development of the technical equipment required for it. In so dividing the shar-ing of costs, uniformity of construction will be ensured and the dangers of differences in technical equipment will be

-In respect of construction of these bases in Canada, work will be carried out as a practical matter by Canadian construction companies employing Canadian labor and material. It is intended that the bases when complete with he manned by Canadian labor with he manned by Canadian labor. plete with be manned by Canadian military personnel.

As for the technical equip-ment which is to be financed by ment which is to be financed by the United States, both governments recognize the need for Canada to share in the production of this equipment. Within the principles of production sharing, the U.S. Government and the Canadian Government expect that a reasonable and fair share of this work will, in fact, be carried out by Canadian industry. To that end a number of groups of officials representof groups of officials represent-ing both countries have been established to initiate the production sharing activities and to deal with the problems involved.

While time is required work out all the necessary de-tails between our governments, considerable progress has al-ready been made and several contracts have been placed.

The production sharing concent also covers the broad range of development and production of military equipment for North American defense generally. American delense generally. Procedures are currently being evolved between officials of the two governments where by greater opportunities than have existed in the past will be afforded. Canadian industry to participate in the production of technical equipment related to program of mutual interest.

In keeping with that determination careful thought is being given to the principles that, in our opinion, are applicable to the acquisition and control of nuclear weapons.

The Government's decisions of last autumn to acquire Bo-mare missiles for air defense and Lacrosse missiles for the Canadian Army were based on the best expert advice avail-able of the need to strengthen Canada's air defense against the threat to this continent and its determination to continue an effective contribution to the NATO shield.

desensive weapons is achieved disarmament but, in the meandefensive weapons is achieved disarmament but, in the meanonly when they are armed with
nuclear warheads. The Government is, therefore, examining with the U.S. Government
questions connected with the
acquisition of nuclear warheads
for Bomarc and other defensive
the Ganaton the meantime, we cannot minimize the
importance of providing the
strongest deterrent to aggresrent power against surprise
attacks.

Another basic consideration is

The Government when able to do so, will inform the House, within the limits of our security, of the general terms of understanding which are reached between the two governments on this subject.

I wish at this time

to give the House an indication of certain basic considerations in the Government's thinking on the question of the acquisi-tion and control of nuclear weapons.

The first important consider-ation is the Government's firm helief in the importance of limiting the spread of nuclear weapons at the independent disposal of national governments.

forded Canadian industry of participate in the production of technical equipment related to program of mutual interest.

Under the irresistable dictates of geography, the defense of North America has become a joint enterprise of both Canada and the United States. In the partnership each country has its own skills and resources to contribute and the pooling of these weapons were disseminated in resources for the most effective numerous countries of the defense of our common interests is the essence of production sharing.

Believing that Parliament and the people of Canada are determined that this nation shall play its full part, in terms both of quantity and quality, in determined and the production of this important objective, it canadian forces will be well-equipped and well-trained for the Canadian forces will be well-equipped and well-trained for the Canadian share of these tasks in a balanced, collective defense.

When the production of the external affairs committee on the situation if the know-how with respect to the know-how with respect

The second consideration is the Government's determination to leave no avenue unexplored in the search for an acceptable agreement on disarmament with the Soviet Union, even though we must reluctantly admit the need in present circumstances for nuclear weapons of a defensive character.

The objective of disarmament must ever be kept in view, even though it may be capable of only partial realization, as for example in agreed zones of in-spection in the Arctic, or agreed measures to guard against sur-prise attack.

Canadians will continue to The full potential of these support effective measures for

acquisition of nuclear warheads for Bomarc and other defensive weapons for use by the Canadian forces in Canada and the storage of warheads in Canada. Problems connected with the arming of the Canadian brigade in Europe with short-range nuclear weapons for NATO's defense tasks are also being studied.

We are confident that we shall be able to reach formal agreement with the United States on appropriate means to serve the common objective. It will, of course, be some time before these weapons will be available for use by Canadian Believing that the spread of

muclear warheads should remain with the United States.

The requirements of Canadian and U.S. legislation on atomic energy will continue to apply and there will be no change in Canada's responsibility for regulating all flights of aircraft over Canadian territory.

lating all flights of aircraft over Canadian territory.

The Canadian and U.S. governments have assumed joint responsibility for the air defense of Canada and the continental United States (including Alaska) and have implemented their responsibilities through the establishments of the North American Air Defense Command.

I feel sure honorable members will recognize the gravity of the decisions that we in Parliament are called upon to make in these are called upon to make in these defense matters by reason of the almost unbelievable nature. of the world in which we live. I would like to emphasize the Government's desire to ensure the security of Canada by allefficient and reasonable means at our disposal and in concert with our strong and trustworthy allies.