

UNCLASSIFIED



CHIEF OF THE GENERAL STAFF

21 Aug 58

Chairman
Chiefs of Staff

1 Reference your "Report on the Development of the CF105 and Associated Weapon System 1952-58" dated 19 Aug 58 and, in particular, paragraph 5 of the paper titled "Development of CF105 Weapon System".

2 Upon reading paragraph 5, one is left with the impression that our air defence plan is designed for the defence of Canada. My understanding is that our air defence plan is not for "the Defence of Canada", but is a part of a Canada-US air defence plan for the defence of North America. This Canada-US plan places first priority on the defence of SAC bases, second priority on certain other installations, and only third priority on certain centres of population in North America, of which four are in Canada, namely, Montreal, Toronto, Hamilton and Vancouver.

3 I think it is wrong to leave the impression with the Minister and the Government that our air defence plan is primarily for the defence of Canadian territory when, in fact, any defence of Canadian territory is but a by-product or extra dividend to the main purpose, which is the defence of SAC bases and Northeastern United States.

(HD Graham)
Lieutenant-General
Chief of the General Staff

UNCLASSIFIED

tenant-General H.D. Graham indicates that Foulkes is misleading the Canadian government and states flatly that defence of Canadian territory is but a by-product of the defence of Strategic Air Command bases and the United States.
[TORONTO OF HISTORY, DEPARTMENT OF NATIONAL DEFENCE]

1.
tion of
of two
associa
arrange
sharing
the nec
ment.
2.
the dev
which
the Ar
under
report
manne
the Ch
RCAF
a prop
produc
System
3.
we und

The "smoking
direction from
tions concern
OF NATIONAL DEFEN