## **LETTERS TO THE EDITOR**



Arrow gets once-over on first public appearance.

## Bang-on view

Although it has nothing to do with Christmas, I feel compelled to bestow much praise on and compliment George Gain for his article concerning the Arrow and Boeing-de Havilland affair (Dec. 16). To me, at least, he was right on target.

(Dec. 16). To me, at least, he was right on target.

My credentials for expressing what many will immediately label heinous sentiments and what follows are quite simple. I was at various periods between 1953 and 1962 Chief of Staff at Air Defence Command, the Air Division Europe and Northern NORAD Region.

I did not know at the time that the Arrow program gave C. D. Howe the shudders. However, I vividly recall being similarly afflicted when contemplating the problems associated with operating such a novel and complex machine in our harsh climate and inadequate electronic environment. No doubt my apprehension was based in part on experience with the CF-100, which was certainly not a world-class aircraft. Heretic or not, I had a strong premonition that the Arrow was destined for a similar classification. Giving due consideration to the threat at the time, the British Canberra would have done the job quite nicely and at a fraction of the cost of a supersonic aircraft.

John Diefenbaker deserves a plaque on his homestead shack for "killing the Arrow" and thereby saving Canada a considerable fortune and, by the same stroke of genius, saving the Air Force from an awesome burden.

Good luck to Boeing and long live de Havilland.

P. A. Gilchrist Air Commodore (retired)