

UNCLASSIFIED

ADVANCED PROJECT NOTE #3

THE POSSIBILITIES OF HYDROGEN AS AN
AIRCRAFT FUEL

D. BROWN

JUNE 1957

SUMMARY

The improvement in performance of high speed, high altitude aircraft following laminarisation of the boundary layer is discussed in this note. Owing to the very large reductions in skin drag coefficient (from 50% to 90% reduction possible) the possible increase in range is large.

The main factors affecting the Reynolds' Number for transition are discussed and in particular an analysis has been made of the requirements to hold surface temperature below the limit for an entirely laminar boundary layer over a body. This analysis led to the conclusion that fuels of much larger heat capacity than Kerosene must be used.

THE POSSIBILITIES OF HYDROGEN AS AN AIRCRAFT FUEL

1. INTRODUCTION

Large reductions in drag and consequent increases in range are possible for finely shaped aircraft at all speeds if completely laminar boundary layers can be maintained over their surfaces.

However, the attainment of a laminar boundary layer at the high Reynold's numbers that are encountered with modern aircraft is not easy and the state of the boundary layer is determined by many factors. The leading parameters affecting the transition Reynolds' Number i.e. the Reynold's Number at which a change from completely laminar to fully turbulent boundary layer commences are as follows:-

- (a) Flight Mach Number;
- (b) Stream turbulence;
- (c) Leading edge on nose geometry;
- (d) Leading edge sweep on wings;
- (e) Surface temperature;
- (f) Surface finish (roughness);
- (g) Pressure gradient;
- (h) Angle of attack;

The effects of the above parameters are discussed by Low in reference #1 in the light of theory, wind tunnel test and free flight test on large models.

/s/ *slw*

(a) Flight Mach Number has a deleterious effect on the Reynolds' Number for transition (i.e. transition region moves forward and the domain of laminar boundary layer is decreased) as Mach Number is increased up to about 4. Above this value Mach. Number has a favourable effect and moves the transition region rearwards again. The type of body has some effect on the value of M for minimum transition Reynolds' Number. For insulated cones the minimum occurs at a lower Mach number than for insulated flat plates and hollow cylinders.

(b) Stream turbulence has a large effect on transition and this may be one of the factors affecting the difference between results of wind tunnel and free flight test inasmuch as the atmosphere has very low turbulence.

(c) Leading edge geometry also has a large effect on transition and the general conclusion is that a rounded nose or leading edge will cause higher values of transition Reynolds' number to be obtained.

(d) Wing sweep has a marked effect in destabilizing an otherwise laminar boundary layer and should be avoided if possible.

(e) Surface temperature is probably the dominant parameter affecting transition and on this point theory and test gives widely different answers. It appears that the ratio of wall temperature to the static temperature at the edge of the boundary layer indicates where transition will occur. Test results from differing sources (see bibliography collected in Reference #1) agree that if the surface temperature T_w can be reduced such that $\frac{T_w}{T} \leq 1.2$ where T is temp. at edge of boundary layer, then a boundary layer initially laminar will remain in that state. Theory predicts considerably higher values of $\frac{T_w}{T}$ to be permissible i.e. underestimating the cooling required.

/Continued

(f) Surface finish is not expected to present grave difficulties unless the protruberances penetrate through the laminar sub-layer of a turbulent boundary layer in which case surface cooling will possibly be unable to delay transition. Flight at high altitude is beneficial in countering surface roughness owing to the thickening of the boundary layer. The thickness of a laminar or turbulent boundary layer increases as altitude increases, since the thickness $\delta \propto \sqrt{\frac{x}{Re_x}}$ and the Reynolds' Number Re_x decreases with altitude increasing.

(g) Pressure gradients have a favourable effect on stabilizing a boundary layer if they are negative i.e. $\frac{dp}{dx} < 0$ where p is the local pressure and x the distance from nose or leading edge. Generally, supersonic flight is more amenable to producing favourable gradients than is subsonic flight. A thin bi-convex wing at zero angle of attack has a favourable gradient over the entire surface whereas in subsonic flows a favourable gradient only exists over the forward portion up to the point of maximum thickness.

(h) Angle of attack affects transition by decreasing Re_T on the upper surface and increasing it on the lower surface, so that for a wing with mixed flow the net change in friction drag would be small.

In the case of flight at high supersonic speeds angles of attack and changes of angle of attack to execute a manoeuvre would be small so that little change in friction drag on this account is expected.

In this note particular emphasis is placed on the achievement of laminar boundary layers due to surface cooling. As will be seen this leads to the discovery of fluids other than the consideration of temperature.

Continued

2. ANALYSIS

An aircraft cruising at a Mach Number of 4 ^{R_{ET}} (which according to Ref #1 is the speed for lowest REF) at an altitude of 100,000 ft. is considered.

Ambient temperature = 216.5°K

If Tr is the stagnation recovery temperature

$$Tr = 216.5 (1 + .2 M^2)$$

R being the stagnation temperature recovery factor and

M the flight Mach Number.

For laminar boundary layers $R = P_r^{\frac{1}{2}}$

and for turbulent boundary layers $R = P_r^{1/3}$

where P_r the Prandtl number is .72 for air

Then $R_{\text{laminar}} = .848$

$R_{\text{Turbulent}} = .862$

Owing to the closeness of these values no appreciable difference in Tr will result if either is used.

Taking $R = .85$

$$Tr = 216.5 \times 3.72 = 804^{\circ}\text{K}$$

In order that an initially laminar boundary layer will stay in that condition free flight tests have shown that Tw must be reduced such that $\frac{Tw}{T} \leq 1.2$

i.e. $Tw \leq 260^{\circ}\text{K}$ (based on the assumption that T is the free stream value).

Further it may be expected (as the result of free flight test) that in spite of high wall temperature near the nose

/Continued

of the wing. There will be a certain length embracing both the leading and trailing edge over which laminar boundary layer flow naturally exists and thus length is defined by the Reynolds' number at the start of transition of 3.0×10^6 for $M = 4.0$ (see Low's report).

Then if l is the length in question

$$3.0 \times 10^6 = \frac{4.0 \times 968}{\nu}$$

and ν is dependent of altitude

<u>Altitude = 50,000 ft.</u>	$\nu = 8.175 \times 10^{-4}$ ft /sec	
	then $l = \frac{3.0 \times 8.175 \times 10^2}{4 \times 968} = .633$ ft.	$T_a = 216.5^\circ K$
<u>Altitude = 70,000 ft.</u>	$\nu = 21.317 \times 10^{-4}$ ft /sec.	
	$l = \frac{3.0 \times 21.317 \times 10^2}{4 \times 968} = 1.65$ ft.	$T_a = 216.5^\circ K$
<u>Altitude = 90,000 ft.</u>	$\nu = 55.346 \times 10^{-4}$	
	$l = 4.29$ ft.	$T_a = 216.5^\circ K$
<u>Altitude = 100,000 ft.</u>	$\nu = 89.456 \times 10^{-4}$	
	$l = 6.93$ ft.	$T_a = 216.5^\circ K$
<u>Altitude = 110,000 ft.</u>	$\nu = 157.2 \times 10^{-4}$	
	$l = 12.18$ ft.	$T_a = 228.9^\circ K$
<u>Altitude = 120,000 ft.</u>	$\nu = 287.6$	
	$l = 22.3$ ft.	$T_a = 251^\circ K$

(Above values for ν and T_a are from N.A.C.A. standard atmosphere)

The above values of laminar boundary layer length demonstrate the advantage in flying at high altitude, due to the rapid increase in the kinematic viscosity with altitude. Another advantage of high altitude flying is the greatly reduced heat input from boundary layer to the skin owing to the much reduced air density at high altitude.

Now the surface temperature of a body at high speed falls from high values at the nose to lower values further back.

In the case of $M=4$ at 100,000 ft. the first 6 ft. or so will be laminar. The next x ft. will be at a higher temperature than $1.2 T_0$ and the remaining $l-x-6$ feet may be at a lower temperature than $1.2 T_0$ and so require no cooling (l is the total length of the body).

2.1. ESTIMATE OF LENGTH TO BE COOLED BY MECHANICAL MEANS FOR $M = 4$

The length x is cooled by mechanical means and its value will roughly determine how much of a body has to be cooled and how much will remain cool enough by radiation.

$$\text{Heat input into body from boundary layer} = h_w (A_w)_x (T_R - T_w)$$

where $(A_w)_x$ is the surface area of body up to the length x

$$\text{Heat radiated from wall} = q_r = \epsilon T_w^4 \times 2.78 \times 10^{-12} (A_w)_x \quad \text{Btu/hr}$$

where ϵ is the emissivity constant which is 1.0 for a black body.

In order that the lowest possible estimate of the length to be cooled shall be made ϵ will be taken as 1.0 and no solar heating will be considered.

$$\text{Then} \quad = (A_w)_x \times 1.0 \times (260)^4 \times 2.78 \times 10^{-12} = .0127 (A_w)_x$$

$$\text{For equilibrium} \quad .0127 (A_w)_x = h_w (A_w)_x (T_R - 260)$$

and the recovery temperature $T_R = 504^\circ \text{K}$

$$\text{Then } h_w = \frac{.0127}{504}$$

For $h_w = S_t \rho u C_p$ where S_t is the Stanton number, ρ the free stream density, u the free stream velocity and C_p the specific heat of air at constant pressure.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{at } 100,000 \text{ ft. } \rho &= 1.066 \times 10^{-5} \text{ lb/ft}^3 \\ u &= 3402 \text{ ft/sec} \\ C_p &= .24 \end{aligned}$$

Further the Stanton number is related to the skin friction coefficient C_f by,

$$S_t = \frac{C_f}{2S}$$

where S is the Reynolds' analogy factor and has a value of 0.835 (Ref 2)

Also for a laminar boundary layer $C_f = \frac{1.209}{\sqrt{R_{Ex}}}$

Where R_{Ex} is the Reynolds number referred to the length x

$$\text{Hence } \frac{1.209}{\sqrt{R_{Ex}}} = \frac{1.65 \times .0127 \times 10^3}{544 \times 1.066 \times 3872 \times .24}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{i.e. } \sqrt{R_{Ex}} &= \frac{1.209 \times 544 \times 1.066 \times 3872 \times .24}{1.65 \times .0127 \times 10^3} \\ &= 3.11 \times 10^4 \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{i.e. } R_{Ex} = \frac{3872 \times 10^4}{89.456} = 9.69 \times 10^8$$

$$\text{So that } x = \frac{9.69 \times 89.456 \times 10^4}{3872} = 2240 \text{ ft.}$$

This value is much in excess of any practical body length and shows that the entire surface of the body has to be cooled by mechanical methods.

The length required to be cooled is strongly dependent on the temperature ratio $\frac{T_w}{T}$. A calculation for $\frac{T_w}{T}$ of 2.0 for the same Mach Number and altitude has shown that only 3.9 feet need be cooled the remainder behind the portion having a ratio of 2.0 or less maintained by radiative cooling. This calculation also showed that very much greater lengths have to be cooled at lower altitudes viz. 26 feet at 80,000 ft. and 174 feet at 60,000 ft. (Fig. 1)

2.2. Feasibility of skin Cooling Using JP-4

A hypothetical aircraft 50 feet in length has been considered cruising at $M = 4.0$ and 100,000 ft.

/Continued/

... ..

... ..

- 100,000
- Atmospheric density ρ , at 10,000 ft. = 1.066×10^{-3} lb/ft
- Flight velocity = 3072 ft/sec.
- Body wet area = .05 (horizontal)
- Sp. Den. - Kolmogorov constant $B = 2.73 \times 10^{-12}$ cm²/ft² sec.² (1)

$$\text{From } \rho \text{ at } 10,000 \text{ ft. } \rho_{10} = 1.212 \times 10^{-4} \times .05 \times 1.066 \times 10^{-3} \times 3072 (3072-1000)$$

$$.05 \times 2.73 \times 10^{-12} \times 360^4$$

$$\rho_{10} = .0003 - .0001 = .0002 \text{ cm}^2/\text{ft}^2 \text{ sec.}$$

... ..

TEMPERATURE RANGE (Deg. C)	WEIGHT OF FUEL (lbs)	VOLUME (Ft. ³)	TEMPERATURE (Deg. C)
0 - .2	19,500	406	.333
.2 - .4	19,000	312	.337
.4 - .6	11,100	231	.33
.6 - .8	7,900	165	.33
.8 - 1.0	5,500	115	.33
1.0 - 1.165	3,400	71	.33

$$\text{Heat transferred to fuel} = C \rho_f \delta_f \frac{dT}{dx}$$

Where C = specific heat of fuel = .5

ρ_f = fuel density = 48 lb/ft.³

δ_f = worn thickness of fuel layer.

$$\text{Then } \frac{dT}{dx} = \frac{1 \times .0647}{\delta_f \times 48 \times .5} = \frac{.02627}{\delta_f}$$

Using this equation and the above table the approximate rise in temperature of the fuel during cruise is found to be 260°C. so that even if at the commencement of cruise the fuel is at its freezing point a rise of 260°C. will bring the temperature up to approximately 170°C. which is considerably above the practical upper limit.

2.3. FEASIBILITY OF SKIN COOLING USING LIQUID HYDROGEN

It is assumed that an insulated tank of liquid hydrogen can be installed in an aircraft fuselage and that suitable piping can be arranged whereby the liquid hydrogen is pumped from the tank and distributed over the outer skin of the aircraft. The rate of heat transfer from the skin to the liquid hydrogen is assumed to be proportional to the area of the skin and the temperature difference between the skin and the liquid hydrogen.

If \dot{W} is the weight flow of hydrogen being evaporated,

T_v the vaporising temperature and T_c the temperature entering the conduction chambers then

$$.0647 = \frac{\dot{W}}{S} [h_v + C_{pH} (T_c - T_v)]$$

where h_v is the latent heat of evaporation and C_{pH} is the mean specific heat of gaseous hydrogen over the temperature range T_v to T_c . S is the cooled surface area.

As an approximation it will be taken that the skin temperature T_w is equal to T_c

$$\text{Then } .0647 = \frac{\dot{W}}{S} [h_v + C_{pH} (T_w - T_v)]$$

Using a cooled surface area of 750 sq. ft., a latent heat of 108 CHU/lb, specific heat of gaseous hydrogen of 3 CHU/lb.°C, a vaporising temperature of 20°K and a desired surface temperature of 260°K then

$$w = .0647 \times 750 / (108 + 720) = .0586 \text{ lb/sec.}$$

3. RAMJET PERFORMANCE

An estimate of the specific net thrust and specific fuel consumption has been made for the following conditions:-

- (a) $M = 4.0$
- (b) Altitude = 100,000 ft. (ambient temp. = 216.5°K)
- (c) Max burning temperature = 2,000°K.
- (d) A.I.A. supersonic diffusion efficiency i.e. 48.7% at $M = 4$
- (e) Subsonic diffuser loss = 5% of total load after normal shock.
- (f) Flameholder loss = 2x dynamic head at entrance to combustion chamber.
- (g) Mach Number of 0.3 at entrance to combustion chamber.
- (h) Standard losses due to heat addition in a constant area duct.
- (i) Zero final nozzle losses and full expansion to ambient static pressure of 22.4 lb/sq.ft.

With these assumptions the specific net thrust is 56.6 lb.sec/lb.

The heating value of hydrogen is 28640 CHU/lb. and assuming a combustion efficiency of .95 (due to the high flame velocities with burning hydrogen) the fuel/air ratio required is .0116

/Continued

Using the formula bearing to $2400^\circ K$ the SAE fuel/air ratio of 14.7 is reduced to 13.5
of .86 and an ideal fuel/air ratio of .0307 is found to be 2.3 lb./

1. DESIGN DATA

An estimate of the drag of the aircraft with both uncooled and cooled
engine has been made for the following assumptions.

1.1. Uncooled Surface (JP-4 Fuel)

- (a) Take off weight = 50,000 lb.
- (b) Empty weight = 25,000 lb.
- (c) Landing speed = 150 m.p.h. = 220 ft/sec.
- (d) Lift coefficient for landing = 1.0

Then the gross wing area of the aircraft is given by

$$S_w = \frac{25,000}{1/2 \times .00238 \times 220^2 \times 1.0}, S_w = 434.5 \text{ ft.}^2$$

Mean cruising weight = 37,500 lbs.

Mean cruising wing loading = 86.3 lb/ft.²

Further assumptions are:-

- (e) Wing aspect ratio = 5
- (f) Root chord = 2 x tip chord ($C_R = 2 \times C_T$)

If Wing span is 2b then $5 = \frac{4b^2}{434.5}$ i.e. b = 23.3 ft.

and if the body diameter is 5 ft.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then } 434.5 &= 5 C_R + 1/2 (C_R + C_T) (b - 2.5) \\ 434.5 &= 10 C_T + 3 C_T \times 20.8 \\ \text{i.e. } C_T &= 6 \text{ ft. and } C_R = 12 \text{ ft.} \end{aligned}$$

Wing mean chord = 9 ft.

Body Skin Drag - Turbulent Boundary layer.

Body length = 50 ft.

$$\text{Hence, Reynolds' Number, } Re = \frac{\rho V L}{\mu} = \frac{0.00238 \times 220 \times 50}{0.0000172} = 7.6 \times 10^6$$

$$\text{Body Drag} = 750 \times .001 \times 251 = 190 \text{ lb.}$$

where the dynamic pressure of the free stream air at Mach No. 4 at altitude 100,000 ft. is 251 lb./ft.².

Body Wave Drag

Taking a nose semi angle of 15° the wave drag coefficient referred to the body frontal area is 0.2.

$$\text{Then body wave drag} = 0.2 \times 251 \times \frac{\pi \times 5^2}{4} = 980 \text{ lb.}$$

$$\text{Wing Skin Friction } R_E = \frac{9}{50} \times 2.16 \times 10^7 = 3.89 \times 10^6$$

$$C_F = .0014, \quad \text{Wing skin drag} = .0014 \times 251 \times 2 \times 374.5 = 263 \text{ lb.}$$

Wing Wave Drag

The thickness chord ratio for the wings is set at 0.03.

$$\text{Wing wave drag coefficient, } C_{D_{WW}} = \frac{4}{\sqrt{M^2-1}} \left[\alpha^2 + \left(\frac{t}{c}\right)^2 \right] \left[1 - \frac{1}{2R\sqrt{M^2-1}} \left(1 - \frac{C_2}{C_1} \right) \right]$$

where α is the angle of attack in radians

$\frac{t}{c}$ is the thickness chord ratio

R is the aspect ratio

C_1 and C_2 are the Busemann coefficients.

For $M = 4$, $C_1 = .5164$ and $C_2 = 1.232$

$$\text{Then } C_{D_{WW}} = 1.025 \left[\alpha^2 + .009 \right]$$

$$\text{The lift coefficient } C_L = \frac{4\alpha}{\sqrt{M^2-1}} \left[1 - \frac{1}{2R\sqrt{M^2-1}} \left(1 - \frac{C_2}{C_1} \right) \right]$$

$$\text{i.e. } C_L = 1.025\alpha$$

$$\text{Cruise lift coefficient} = \frac{86.3}{\text{Dynamic pressure}} = \frac{86.3}{251} = .34$$

$$\text{Then } \alpha = .3316 \text{ radians}$$

$$C_{D_{WW}} = 1.025 (.3316^2 + .009) = .1135$$

This coefficient is based on the exposed plan area of the wings.

/Continued

$$W_{\text{gross}} = 434.5 - 5 \times 12 = 374.5 \text{ lb}^2$$

$$W_{\text{gross}} \text{ Drag} = .1135 \times 251 \times 374.5 = 10,680 \text{ lbs.}$$

Induced Drag

$$\text{Induced drag coefficient } C_{Di} = \frac{C_L^2}{\pi R e}$$

where e is the span efficiency and will be taken as .5

$$\text{Then } C_{Di} = \frac{.34^2}{\pi \times 5 \times .5} = .0147$$

$$\text{Induced drag} = .0147 \times 434.5 \times 251 = 1,600 \text{ lbs.}$$

$$\text{Total drag} = 190 + 980 + 263 + 10680 + 1600 = 13713 \text{ lb.}$$

$$\text{Mean lift : drag ratio} = \frac{37500}{13713} = 2.73$$

4.2. Cooled Surface (Hydrogen fuelled)

Assumptions

- (a) It is assumed that the cooled surface is sufficient to stabilise the wholly laminar boundary layer over the body.
- (b) Cooling permits use of aluminum structure with attendant airframe weight benefits over the JP-4 aircraft which will necessarily have a steel skin.
- (c) Fuel weight fixed at 2290 lb. This is derived from the same volume of hydrogen as JP-4 and a density of 4.4 lb/ft³ compared with 48 lb/ft³ for JP-4.
- (d) Landing speed = 150 m.p.h.
- (e) Lift coefficient for landing = 1.0

Similar calculations to those outlined in section 4.1 have been made for a series of airframe weights and the values of Gross weight : Empty Weight with the corresponding values of Lift : Drag ratio are tabulated below. Body dimensions are the same as those for the JP-4 aircraft:

/Continued

WING WEIGHT W_0	$\frac{L}{D}$	RANGE (NM)
2.0	1.85	1857
1.75	2.032	2067
1.5	2.38	2404
1.248	2.958	3503

Using the Breguet range equation in the form

$$X = \frac{3600}{SFC} \times \frac{L}{D} \times \log_e \frac{W_g}{W_e}$$

Where X is the range

$$\frac{X_{H_2}}{X_{JP-4}} = \frac{(SFC)_{JP-4}}{(SFC)_{H_2}} \times \frac{(L/D)_{H_2}}{(L/D)_{JP-4}} \times \frac{\log_e \left(\frac{W_g}{W_e} \right)_{H_2}}{\log_e \left(\frac{W_g}{W_e} \right)_{JP-4}}$$

$$\text{where } (SFC)_{JP-4} = 2.3$$

$$(SFC)_{H_2} = 0.738$$

$$(L/D)_{JP-4} = 2.73$$

$$\left(\frac{W_g}{W_e} \right)_{JP-4} = 2.0$$

Values of $\frac{X_{H_2}}{X_{JP-4}}$ are tabulated below:-

$\left(\frac{W_g}{W_e} \right)_{H_2}$	$\frac{X_{H_2}}{X_{JP-4}}$
2.0	2.12
1.75	1.875
1.5	1.59
1.248	1.08

/Continued

• 0504

?

3600

Flow Passage Areas

$$.718 = e A v$$

$$T_c (lb/ft^2)$$

A is the passage area in sq. ft.

v is the flow velocity in ft/sec.

Now $\rho = \frac{p}{RT_c}$

2

$$\text{Then } A = \frac{.718 \times T \times 1390}{p \times a_n \times R \times M_f}$$

2

The temperature $T_c = T_w = 260^\circ \text{K}$ 14

Since the ratio of specific heats for hydrogen is 1.3

Then $u_a = 32.2 \times 1.3 \times 1390 \times 260 = 3290 \text{ ft/sec.}$

$$\text{Chen A} = \frac{.718 \times 260 \times 1390}{P = 3590 \times 11} = \frac{66.7}{P = 11} \text{ sq. ft.}$$

3

10.5

$$= 1555 \text{ lb/sq. ft.}$$

$$\text{Static pressure at this section} = \frac{1555}{1.1504} = 1352 \text{ lb/sq. ft.}$$

Since the fuel pressure at the nozzles need not be greater than 1555 lb/sq. ft. then the largest flow area required for the hydrogen is

$$A = \frac{333.5}{1452} = .228 \text{ sq. ft.}$$

The width d of an annular type nozzle of 4 feet diameter would be

$$d = \frac{.228 \times 12}{\pi \times 4} = .213 \text{ inches}$$

With a final discharge coefficient of .6

$$d = .363 \text{ inches.}$$

CONCLUSIONS

It is apparent that large decreases in drag and consequent increases in range are possible if laminar boundary layers can be maintained at high flight speeds. The greatest advantages of course accrue with bodies of very large fineness ratio, the surface area of these bodies being large compared with frontal area.

Among the many factors which determine the state of a boundary layer in compressible flow, surface temperature has a strong effect and apart from its importance to maintain structural integrity at high speeds, skin cooling can pay large dividends in range if it is adequate to laminarise an otherwise turbulent boundary layer.

There are two basic methods of skin cooling:

- (a) Film or 'sweat' cooling;
- (b) Cooling by internal absorption of heat generated in the boundary layer.

Film cooling has not been discussed in this note but it is pointed out that a disposable liquid must be carried by the aircraft and while it may adequately cool the surface it is difficult to visualise its giving a large contribution to propulsive thrust.

Cooling by internal absorption usually is

that the bulk of the heat absorbed goes into the fuel and not into the coolant possibly giving an increase in overall efficiency of 10%.

As pointed out in Ref. 4 and also in Ref. 5, an ordinary kerosene fuel is inadequate to deal with the high rate of heat transfer given by flight at $M = 4.0$ at 100,000 ft. and fuels of much lower heat capacity must be considered.

In spite of its bulk liquid hydrogen is promising owing to its large heat capacity, (determined by its low boiling point and its large specific heat) and by its high calorific value of 28000 Cal/lb.

NOTE: If hydrogen could be stored as a liquid in its atomic form (nascent hydrogen) the heat of re-association could bring the theoretical heating value of hydrogen up to 78000 Cal/lb.

1. Boundary - layer transition at supersonic speeds:

G. M. Low NACA RM E56 H10

2. The Problem of Aerodynamic Heating. Van Driest Paper presented
at the National Summer Meeting, I.A.S. Los Angeles, June 1956.

3. The Determination of Skin Temperature Attained in High Speed Flight

Davies and Monaghan RAE Report Aero 2454

4. Surface protection and Cooling System for High Speed Flight

Masson and Gazley.

Aero Engineering Review, November 1956.