TOP SECRET

Royal Canadian Air Force; development of CF-105

- at the meeting of March 8th, said that the Department of National Defence had now sought Treasury Board authority National Defence had now sought Treasury Board authority for the expenditure of \$40 million to proceed with the first stage in the development of the new CF-105 superfirst stage in the development of the new CF-105 superfirst stage in the development of the new CF-105 superfirst stage in the development of the new CF-105 superfirst stage in the development of semi sum would cover the cost of the first eleven afreraft as well as the cost of tooling. The overall programme would involve construction of forty aircraft, and the development and procurement of engines for them, at a total expenditure of some \$260 million.
- the total expenditure of \$260 million for the completed project would be spread over a six-year period. It was anticipated that the magnitude of annual expenditure would be of the order of \$15 million the first year, \$47 million the second, \$61 million, the third, \$66 million the fourth, \$40 million the fifth and \$30 million the final year.
- points emerged: In the course of discussion the following
 - (a) There was no doubt that, at the drafting-board stage, the CF-105 appeared to be a very useful aircraft well suited to the long distances and severe atmospheric conditions of the Canadian north, which would serve the R.C.A.F. as an 'effective weapon against long range supersonic bombers flying at high altitudes. This development involved the expenditure of very aubstantial sums of public money and constituted what some might consider expensive insurance against an emergency which might or might not occur.
 - (b) Good as this aircraft might turn out to be, it was unlikely that other N.A.T.O. governments would adopt it for their own use. One of the reasons for this was that the aircraft was designed to meet conditions peculiar to northern Canada and might not be entirely suitable for use in western Europe; another was that we could not expect the U.S. and U.K. to adopt a Canadian plane rather than develop one of this importance themselves. themselves.
 - (c) It was understood that the \$260 million project could be fitted within total defence expenditure on the present scale; it was hoped that the long-term commitments in this development would not prevent further cuts being made in the overall defence budget during the next few years, if the international situation at that time made such reductions possible.
 - (d) Without questioning the desirability of proceeding with the CF-105 project, it was suggested that sufficient attention was not being paid to the abandonment of obsolete weapons, formations and strategic concepts in the light of recent developments in the field of nuclear fission. The answer to the problem was perhaps not to curtail new developments, such as the CF-105 project, but rather to make sure that long established methods and weapons were not continued through sheer force of habit and tradition even though they had become obsolete and ineffective. This might require a review of our military commitments in the light of the latest developments.
 - (e) It should be borne in mind that many million dollars would have to be spent before there could be any assurance that the CP-105 was as good in actual operation as it was on the drafting board.
 - 43. The Cabinet approved the entry into a contract with Avro. Aircraft, Ltd., of Toronto for the design development and supply of 11 airframes, and the supply of production tooling, for the supersonic fighter aircraft, CF-105, at an estimated total cost of \$40 million a Treasury Board minute to be issued accordingly.