

A. V ROE CANADA LIMITED

MALTON - ONTARIO

TECHNICAL DEPARTMENT (Aircraft)

	AIRCRAF	T:					REPORT NO 71	Stab/6	
-	FILE NO						NO OF SHEETS _		
	TITLE								
-									
1				CF	-105				
			DIG	ITAL COMPUT	ER DET	TERMINATION			
1									
						ATIVES FROM			
			<u>.</u>	OSCILLATORY	FLIGH	HT TESTS			
-									
	i								
								-	
	•				P	REPARED BY M.V.	Jenkins	DATE OCT.	1957.
					С	HECKED BY		DATE	
					S	SUPERVISED BY		DATE	
					A	PPROVED BY		DATE	
	ISSUE NO	REVISION NO	REVISED BY	APPROVED BY	DATE	REMARKS			
					l.				

FORM 1316A

AIRCRAFT.

REPORT No 71/Stab./6

SHEET NO __

PREPARED BY

DATE

M.V. Jenkins CHECKED BY

Oct. 1957. DATE

TEMPEY

INDEX		
	PAGE	
Introduction	1	
Introduction	2	
Introduction	3	
References	4	
Note on Notation	5	
Discussion	6	
Discussion	7	
Height Effect on the Phugoid	8	!
Complex number of Notation	9	
Short Period Oscillation	10	
Short Period Oscillation	11	
Short Period Oscillation Equations	12	
Short Period Oscillation Equations	13	
Equations to include phugoid effects	14	
Equations to include phugoid effects	15 a	
Equations to include phugoid effects	16	i
Equations to include phugoid effects	17	
Equations to include phugoid effects	18	
Coding of serodynamic derivatives	19	
Coding of aerodynamic derivatives	20	
Coding of aerodynamic derivatives	21	
Summary of Longitudinal equations of motion	22	
Coefficients of stability quintic	23	

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

AIXCHAFT				
		INDEX	(CONT (D)	
Coefficients	of			
Coefficients		ESTATE OF STREET STREET STREET		
Coefficients	of	stability	quintic	
Coefficients	of	stability	quintic	

Expansion of to the fifth power

Expressions for a , j and r

Conclusions

Stability quintic in real and imaginary domains

Expressions for 30x /3M, 30z /3M, 30m /3M

REPORT No 71/Stab/6

PREPARED BY

1

SHEET NO ______1

TECHNICAL DEPARTMENT

AIRCRAFT

M.V. Jenkins

Oct. 1957.

INTRODUCTION

This report gives the details of a method for determining the longitudinal aerodynamic derivatives from analysis of longitudinal flight oscillations.

The method may be adopted to a digital computer programme.

It is assumed that the reader is fully conversant with time vector analysis of oscillatory flight which is described in references 2 and 3.

SHEET NO. 2

M.V. Jenkins

Oct. 1957.

AIRCRAFT.

V. Jenkins

INTRODUCTION TO DIGITAL ANALYSIS OF CSCILLATORY FLIGHT TESTS

From the method of vector analysis described in references 1, and 2, it is known that if the modulus and phase relationships of the incremental variables are known together with the damping and frequency of the oscillation, the main derivatives governing the characteristics of a short period oscillation may be determined.

Until the present time all this information has come from the measurement of the timing and shrinkage of peak values on recorded traces. However this procedure suffers from a number of disadvantages:

- Peak values only are utilised
- 2. Peak values are obtained by visual interpolation.
- An insufficient number of peaks during zero change in equilibrium speed.
- Extensive man hours required for conscientious measurement.

These disadvantages have been eliminated by a digital computer best fit curve process which digests every point from which a sinusoidal trace would be formed.

The process is applied to each incremental variable yielding accurately the required relationships.

From the above it may be gathered that the digital computer best fit curve process makes possible a more accurate time vector analysis. However from experience of the method of time vector analysis of Free Flight Model results, this is not considered desirable mainly because of the extensive man-hours required to operate the method and because an alternative method outlined below may be said to have very largely eliminated human error.

In the time vector method the complex relationships of the incremental variables are expressed in poler form; however the alternative is to express these complex relationships in Cartesian co-ordinate form. Hence each equation of motion may be split into a real and an imaginary domain and the solution will yield two 'unknown' values of aerodynamic derivatives. This is analogous to the time vector analysis; however the important point is that the linearised equations of motion although expressed in complex form have been retained in digital notation which may be manipulated by a digital computer.

This makes possible a comprehensive digital computer programme. The input is edited digitalised flight recording on tape and the output is evaluated aerodynamic derivatives.

AIRCRAFT:

Visual comprehension of the dynamic motion is the main advantage of the time vector diagrams. This may be retained by drawing out the solutions of the computer programme in time vector diagram form for selected flight cases.

All the main and auxilliary equations of motion solved by the computer programme are given in this report. However all the details of the digital computing programme are given in ref.

M.V. Jenkins Oct. 1957. CHECKED BY DATE

References:

AIRCRAFT

- Dynamic Equations Relative to Body Axes P/Stab./132 M. V. Jenkins
- 2. Time Vector Analysis of Free Flight Model Lateral Results
 - P/Stab./135 M. V. Jenkins
- 3. Time Vector Analysis of Free Flight Model Longitudual Results P/Stab./140 M. V. Jenkins
- 4. Computing Department Report No. A.2? J. Shoosmith

REPORT	NO	71	STAF	3/6
REPORT	NO	1-1	_	

SHEET NO DATE PREPARED BY M.V. Jenkins Oct. 1957.

TECHNICAL DEPARTMENT AIRCRAFT:

> CHECKED BY DATE

Note on notation

current use in Avro for a body axes system unless designated otherwise in the text. The Avro notation which in the main is that accepted by N. A. C. A. is found in reference 1. This reference also contains the derivation of the linear equations of motion which form the basis of this report.

All symbols used in this report are those in

WRON		AIRCRAFT N - ONTARIO	
TECH	NICAL	DEPART	MENT

REPORT NO 71/Stab./6

SHEET NO 6

PREPARED BY DATE

M. V. Jenkins Oct.1957

CHECKED BY DATE

AIRCRAFT:

EVALUATION OF LONGITUDINAL DERIVATIVES FROM

FLIGHT OSCILLATIONS

Those derivatives which are virtually independent of incremental speed and height changes during short period oscillations are solved by the simultaneous solution of the normal force and pitching equations. This is made possible by the measured interrelationship of the normal acceleration, incidence and pitch variables together with the frequency and damping of the short period oscillation.

The solution will yield C_{mQ} , C_{zQ} , $(C_{zQ}+C_{zq})$ and $(C_{mQ}+C_{mq})$.

However initially the recordings of the α vane will not be accepted until confidence is felt in the corrections to be applied to phase and amplitude of the recordings. Consequently an assumption which is described in the appropriate section concerning the inter-relationship of the incidence and pitch variables is made. This assumption eliminates the experimental determination of $(C_{Z_0^{\alpha}} + C_{Z_0})$.

In order to find additional longitudinal derivatives with respect to speed an attempt to analyse the phugoid oscillation must be made and incremental speed must be taken into account. Following the principles of the analysis of the short period oscillation, tentatively one would attempt to detect incremental speed through the medium of the longitudinal accelerometer and its relationship to the pitch and normal acceleration variables during the long period oscillation.

However unlike the short period oscillation analysis where several cycles may be examined, the phugoid is unlikely to complete one cycle, since the period in many cases is of the order two minutes, before being subjected to a random disturbance such as a gust or cross-coupling effect due to aileron control. This disturbance will prevent satisfactory determination of the inter-relationship of the longitudinal variables.

In addition to the major consideration above the procurement of a satisfactory longitudinal accelerometer is problematical. Incremental flight path in radians approximately equals incremental normal acceleration. Consequently the maximum value of incremental normal acceleration would be less than 0.1 'g'.

The accelerometer would be required not to sense higher frequency accelerations of the same order.

REPORT NO. 71/Stab./6

SHEET NO TECHNICAL DEPARTMENT PREPARED BY DATE M. V. Jenkins Oct. 1957 CHECKED BY DATE

Consequently it appears that the principle of accurately measuring the inter-relationships of the longitudinal variables and substituting these values into the equations of motion to yield the values of aerodynamic derivatives is not possible.

Inclusion of height effects presents a further obstacle in that accurate measurement of heigh variation together with accurate phasing is thought to be unobtainable.

Alternative Method

AIRCRAFT:

If the frequency and damping of the phugoid can be satisfactorily measured and the values of the derivatives found from the short period oscillation are substituted into the equations of motion together with the theoretical estimates of lesser important derivatives the inclusion of which is assessed as improving the accuracy of the solution, experimental determination of the speed derivatives is possible.

It is anticipated that measurement of the phugoid damping will be within large tolerances. The consequence of this is that determination of Cx/ M is unreliable. However if the frequency of the phugoid is measured accurately $\partial C_2/\partial M$ and $\partial C_M/\partial M$ values will be reliable.

A series of checks utilising Free Flight Model data yielded the following results. Varying height effects were included.

4	error in measuring amplitude ratio over one period	9cx/9W	$\delta c_z/\delta M$	9 cW/9 W
	-58.7	0274	.0969	.0249
	-51.26	0106	.0979	.0249
	-15.28	+.0195	.0996	.0249
	0	+.0250	.0999	.0249
	+72.95	+.0382	.1006	.0249
	+107.9	+.0412	.1008	.0249

REPORT NO 71/Stab./6

PORT NO TAY DURE OF

SHEET NO. 8

TECHNICAL DEPARTMENT

M. W. Jankina Oct. 1957
CHECKED BY DATE

Height Effect In The Phugoid

AIRCRAFT

For accurate determination of the additional derivatives which have a significant bearing on the characteristics of the phugoid oscillation, the effects of incremental height and speed changes during the long period oscillation should be simultaneously introduced. A kinematic relation equation has to be introduced equating incremental n to the other variables.

The solution of the equations of motion now involves a statility quintic the roots of which are the short period complex pairs together with a real root which physically will be recognised as a slow convergence or divergence in height relative to equilibrium height.

If the following derivatives with respect to height are calculated and substituted into the equations of motion the resultant determination of the speed derivatives will be more accurate.

LXE.		9 M	94	3 F
$c_{z\bar{h}}$	=	∂ 0 _Z	M 6.	TE
10/10		M 6	ð h	3 H
Cmfi	=	9 C ^m	M6.	
(8.5)		9 W	9 E	

REPORT No 71/Stab /6

SHEET NO

PREPARED BY DATE

AIRCRAFT

M. V. Jenkins

Oct.1957

CHECKED BY

DATE

NOTATION

 $\lambda = K + i\omega$

Let Z be the complex relation between any incremental

variable and incremental q.

EXAMPLES

where |q is the phase lead of q on C

 $\lambda_q = Z_{\lambda q} = (\mathbf{K} + i\omega)(x_q + iy_q)$

 $\dot{\alpha} = \lambda \alpha$ $\dot{q} = \lambda_q$

where \lambda q is the phase lead of q on \(\mathcal{Q}\)

 $\dot{\mathbf{q}} = \lambda_{\mathbf{q}} = \mathbf{z}_{\lambda \mathbf{q}} = \frac{|\lambda||\mathbf{q}|}{|\alpha|} \cdot |\alpha| \frac{|\lambda_{\mathbf{q}}|}{|\alpha|} = \mathbf{x}_{\lambda \mathbf{q}} + i\mathbf{y}_{\lambda \mathbf{q}}$

•• $\mathbf{x}_{\lambda q} = |\mathbf{q}||\lambda| |\mathbf{q}| \cos |\lambda \mathbf{q}| = (\mathbf{K} \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{q}} - \omega \mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{q}}) = \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{q}}^{\bullet}$

 $\mathbf{y}_{\lambda q} = \frac{|\lambda||q|}{|\alpha|} \cdot |\alpha| \sin |\lambda q| = (K_{\mathbf{y}_q} + \omega \mathbf{x}_q) = \mathbf{y}_q^*$

and $x_{\lambda q} = \frac{|\lambda||q|}{|\alpha|} |\alpha| \cos |\lambda q| y_{\lambda q} = |\lambda||q| |\alpha| \sin |\lambda q|$

 $q = Z_q = |\underline{q}| |\alpha| |\underline{q} = x_q + iy_q$

and $x_q = |q| |\alpha| \cos |q|$ $y_q = |q| |\alpha| \sin |q|$

AIDCDAFT

REPORT No. 71/Stab./6

SHEET NO

IRCRAFT LIMITED

TECHNICAL DEPARTMENT

M. V. Jenkins Oct. 1957
CHECKED BY DATE

SHORT PERIOD OSCILLATION

Instrument phasing errors are such that certain justified assumptions must be made to replace unobtainable accuracy in measurement.

The normal acceleration equation is used for obtaining \P . Unfortunately it calls for unobtainable accuracy to avoid the assumption that normal acceleration is other than in phase with Q.

This assumption having been made, the equations are now framed so that $C_{Z_Q^*}+C_{Z_Q} = 0$ since |C| = |q| and the phase lead is small. Should confirmed phase measurement between normal acceleration and C arise this additional fact may be used to determine $(C_{Z_Q^*}+C_{Z_Q})$.

In order to avoid error in the recordings of the pitch functions the following assumptions are made.

$$|\theta| = \frac{1}{3|\lambda|} \left(|\lambda| |\Theta_t| + |q_t| + \frac{|\dot{q}_t|}{|\lambda|} \right)$$

$$|q| = \frac{1}{3} \left(|\lambda| |\Theta_t| + |q_t| + \frac{|\dot{q}_t|}{|\lambda|} \right)$$

$$|\dot{q}| = \frac{|\dot{\lambda}|}{3} \left(|\lambda| |\Theta_t| + |q_t| + \frac{|\dot{q}_t|}{|\lambda|} \right)$$

Notes on the analysis of the longitudinal short period oscillation are given on the following page.

REPORT No71/Stab./6

ECHNICAL DEPARTMENT

AIRCRAFT

DATE Oct. 1957 M. V. Jankins CHECKED

| which may be There are two alternative values of accepted viz $|\lambda||\alpha|$ or |q|. However initially it will $|\dot{\alpha}| = |q|$ is more reliable than $|\lambda| |\alpha_t|$.

The justification for the assumption $|\dot{\alpha}| = |\alpha|$ given in P/Stab/140.

For the purposes of finding |q|, Z_q is treated entirely as an unknown. $z_q \cos \alpha_1 = + z_N + z_0 \cos \alpha_1 + z_0 + z_0 \sin \alpha_1 + z_0 = \sin \theta_1 \cos \phi_1$ $z_q = + z_N \sec 0 + z_Q^* + z_Q^* + z_Q^* + z_Q^* + z_Q^* + z_Q^* \sin \Theta \cos \theta_1 \sec \alpha_1$

For Z_{Q} use should be made of the best average result from the following relationships.

$$z_{\theta_{t}} = z_{\frac{q_{t}}{\lambda}} = z_{\frac{q_{t}}{\lambda}} = z_{\frac{q_{t}}{\lambda}} = z_{0}(z_{0}) = z_{\frac{q_{t}}{\lambda}}$$

$$x_{q_{t}} = +x_{N} \sec \alpha_{1} + x_{0}(z_{0}) + x_{0}(z_{1}) + x_{0}(z_{1}$$

 $y_{q} = + y_{g} \sec \theta(+ y_{\dot{q}} + y_{\dot{q}}$

$$\underline{q} = \tan^{-1} \frac{y_q}{x_a} \quad \underline{\theta} = \underline{q} - \underline{z} - \underline{\varepsilon}_b \quad \underline{q} = \underline{q} + \underline{z} + \underline{\varepsilon}_b$$

Hence Z_{Q} , Z_{Q} , Z_{Q} , Z_{Q} and Z_{Q} have now become knowns.

These functions may now be used in the 0 Z and pitching equations.

LONGITUDINAL SHORT PERIOD OSCILLATION

EQUATIONS

The following OZ and Pitching Moment equations are considered to adequately determine the characteristics of the longitudual short period oscillation.

AVRO AIRCRAFT LIMITED
MALTON - ONTARIO

REPORT No 71/Stab /6

DEPARTMENT

CHECKED BY

The O Z Equation

AIRCRAFT:

$$-\frac{c_{2\alpha}}{2\pi} \quad \alpha - \alpha \quad \frac{c_{2\alpha}}{4\mu_{1}} \quad -\frac{q}{4\mu_{1}} \quad cos \quad \alpha_{1}$$

$$4\mu$$
, 4μ , 4μ ,

.
$$\alpha + \alpha_q \sin \alpha_1$$

$$-\frac{\sin i}{m} \sqrt[3]{\frac{5}{3}} \cdot \frac{7}{3} \cdot \alpha + \alpha q \sin \alpha$$

Solve for a and d. All other coefficients are known.

$$-q \cos \alpha + \theta = \frac{g}{V} \sin \theta \cos \beta = 0$$

 $e = + \cos 0($

 $g = -\cos \alpha$

 $h = \frac{g}{v_1} \sin \left(\frac{H}{v} \cos p \right)$

(a + b + c) + (d + e) Z + (f * g) Z + h Z = 0

$$c = - \underbrace{\sin i}_{m} \cdot \underbrace{\partial T}_{\partial \alpha}$$

 $d = - \frac{C_z \dot{c}}{4\mu_1}$

Check that Cz. + Czq = 0

 $\mathbf{a} = - \frac{\mathbf{C} \mathbf{z}}{2} \mathbf{q}$

 $b = + q_1 \sin Q_1$

REPORT No 71/Stab./6

TECHNICAL DEPARTMENT

PREPARED BY DATE M. V. Jenkins Oct. 1957 CHECKED BY DATE

Pitching Moment Equation

AIRCRAFT:

$$- \circ (c_{m_{O()}} - \circ (c_{m_{O()}} \frac{c}{c^{2}} - q c_{m_{Q}} \frac{e}{e}^{2}$$

$$- \frac{e}{I_{v}} \frac{\partial \tau}{\partial \alpha} \cdot \alpha + \dot{\alpha} = 0$$

Written in complex form the equation becomes

$$(j + k) + l_{Z_{\dot{q}}} + mZ_{\dot{q}} + Z_{\dot{q}} = 0$$

Solve for j and 1. All other coefficients are known

AIRCRAFT

REPORT No 71/Stab/6

15

SHEET NO _

PREPARED BY

Oct. 1957. DATE

DATE

M.V. Jenkins

CHECKED BY

LINEARISED LONGITUDINAL EQUATIONS TO INCLUDE PHUGOID EFFECTS

The following equations include varying height

and speed terms in order to adequately cover the

characteristics of the phugoid.

AVRO AIRCRAFT LIMITED

REPORT No. 71/Stab./6

PREPARED BY

DATE

TECHNICAL DEPARTMENT

M. V. Jankins Oct. 1957 CHECKED BY

All angles expressed in radians

6 X Equation

AIRCRAFT:

$$-\overline{\nabla} \quad \underline{M} \quad \frac{\partial Cx}{\partial M} \quad -\overline{\nabla} \quad \frac{C \times_{1}}{7} \quad - \quad 0 \left(\frac{C \times_{0}}{27} \right) \quad - \quad 0 \left(\frac{C \times_{0}}{27} \right)$$

$$-q \frac{Cx_q}{4\mu_1} - \frac{Cx_h}{2\tau} = \frac{1}{72p} - \frac{3p}{3h} = \frac{3p}{3h}$$

$$+\overline{v}$$
 cos α_1 - α sin α_1 + \overline{v} sin α_1 + α + α + α cos α_1

$$\cos \left(\frac{1}{H} \right) = 0$$

+ q
$$\sin \alpha$$
 + θ $\frac{g}{v}$ $\cos \beta$ $\cos \theta$

 \overline{V} (a+b λ) + Q (c+d λ) + θ (e+f λ) + h (g) = 0



AVRO AIRCRAFT LIMITED MALTON - ONTARIO TECHNICAL DEPARTMENT AIRCRAFT M.V. Jenkins CHECKED BY: DATE: DATE:

The 0 Z Equation
$$- \vec{v} \quad \underline{M} \quad \underline{Cz} - \vec{v} \quad \underline{Cz_1} \quad - \underline{Cz_2} \quad \cdot \quad \alpha - \alpha \quad \underline{Cz \quad \alpha} - \underline{q} \quad \underline{Cz} \quad \underline{M} \quad \underline{M$$

$$-\frac{h.Csh}{27} - \frac{Cs_1}{7} = \frac{h}{2\rho} \cdot \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial h} - \frac{\sin i}{2 \sqrt{1}} \cdot \frac{\partial T}{\partial h} = \frac{h}{2 \sqrt{1}}$$

$$-\frac{\sin i}{m} \frac{\partial T}{\partial V_1} \cdot \overline{V} - \frac{\sin i}{m} \frac{\partial T}{V_1} \cdot \frac{\partial T}{\partial Q} \cdot Q + O(\cos Q_1)$$

$$-\overline{V} Q_1 \cos Q_1 + \sin Q_1 \frac{\partial}{V} + Q_2 \sin Q_1$$

$$-q \cos Q + \theta \underset{V_1}{\underline{g}} \sin \underline{\underline{H}} \cos \phi_1$$

All angles expressed in radians. \vec{v} $(j+\lambda k) + \alpha(j+m\lambda) + \hat{e}$ $(n+p\lambda) + \hat{h}$ (q) = 0

AVRO AIRCRAFT LIMITED
MALTON ONTARIO

TECHNICAL DEPARTMENT

AIRCRAFT:

M. V. Jankina Oct. 1957
CHECKED BY DATE

Pitching Moment Equation

$$- \vec{v} \, \underline{M} \, \frac{\partial \, Cm}{\partial \, M} \, - \vec{v} \, \frac{Cm}{\mu_1^2} \, \frac{v_1^2}{K_{\gamma}^2} \, - \frac{\alpha \, Cm}{\tau^2} \, \frac{\alpha}{h} \, - \frac{\alpha}{4} \, \frac{C_{m_{\alpha}}}{4 \, K_{\gamma}^2 \, \tau}$$

$$- q \frac{C_{m_0} \bar{c}^2}{4 K_{\gamma}^2 \tau} - \frac{Cm_{\bar{h}} \bar{h}}{\bar{h} \tau^2} - \frac{v_1}{2 P} \frac{2}{3 \bar{h}} \frac{\partial P}{M_1 K_{\gamma}^2} \frac{Cm_1}{\bar{h}} \bar{h}$$

$$-\frac{e}{I_{Y}}\cdot\frac{\partial}{\partial V_{1}}\cdot V_{1}\cdot \bar{V}-\frac{e}{I_{y}}\frac{\partial T}{\partial \bar{h}}-\frac{e}{I_{y}}\cdot\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\alpha}}\cdot \alpha+\bar{q}=0$$

All angles expressed in radiaus.

$$\vec{\nabla}$$
 (r) + α (s+ λ t) + Θ ($\lambda \vec{u} + \lambda^2$) + \vec{h} (w) = 0

REPORT No. 71/Stab./6 AVRO AIRCRAFT LIMITED TECHNICAL DEPARTMENT AIRCRAFT M. V. Jenkins Oct. 1957 CHECKED BY

Incremental h

From P/Stab./132 Sheet 12.5

Linearising the equation of h and allowing all products of incremental variables to vanish and putting $\cos \theta = 1$,

 $\sin \theta = \theta$. Also extracting h, $\beta = \emptyset = 0$ is assumed.

$$\sin \theta = \theta$$
. Also extracting h_1 $\beta = \emptyset = 0$ is assume

 $+ \frac{\vec{v}}{2} = \left\{ \cos \alpha_1 \sin(\theta) \cos(\beta_1 - \sin \alpha_1) \cos(\theta) \cos \beta_1 - \sin(\beta_1) \sin \beta_1 \cos(\beta_1 - \sin \beta_1) \right\}$

$$-\frac{\alpha v_1}{2} \left\{ \sin \alpha_1 \sin \theta \cos \beta_1 + \cos \alpha_1 \cos \theta \cos \beta_1 \right\}$$

$$+ \frac{\partial v_1}{\partial v_1} \left\{ \cos \alpha_1 \cos \theta \cos \beta_1 + \sin \theta \sin \alpha_1 \cos \beta_1 + \sin \beta_1 \sin \beta_1 \sin \beta_1 \right\}$$

$$\overline{V} \times + O(y + \Theta z + \lambda h = 0$$

h = h : h = h

AVRO AIRCRAFT LIMITED	REPORT NO		
TECHNICAL DEPARTMENT AIRCRAFT:	PREPARED BY	DATE	
	M. V. Jenkins	Oct.1957	
	CHECKED BY	DATE	

L			
	CODING OF AERODYNAMIC	DERIVATI VES	
E C	a = a ₁ + a ₂ + a ₃ + a ₄	$f = f_1 + f_2$	
	$\mathbf{a}^{1} = -\frac{54}{\mathbf{M} \cdot 9 \mathbf{C}^{\mathbf{X}} \setminus 9 \mathbf{M}}$	f1= - Cxq / 4,4	
	$a_2^2 - cx_1/\gamma$	f ₂ = + sin q ₁	
	$a_3 = -\frac{\cos 1.5 T/5 V_1}{m}$	$g = g_1 + g_2 + g_3$	
	a_4 + sin $Q_1 \cdot Q_1$	€ ₁ = - Cx _ħ / 27	
	$b = + \cos O_1$	82 = - Cx10p/0 h 27p	
	c = c ₁ + c ₂ + c ₃	$g_3 = -\frac{\cos 1.77}{m V_1}$	
	o ₁ = - cx ₀₍ / 27	$j = j_1 + j_2 + j_3 + j_4$	
	$\frac{c_{2^{n}}-\cos i \delta T/\delta \alpha}{m V_{1}}$	j ₁ = - <u>ΜδCz</u> /δ <u>Μ</u>	
	$c_3 = + c_1 \cos \alpha_1$	j ₂ = - c _{z1} /γ	
	$d = d_1 + d_2$	j ₃ = - sin i. 2 T / 2 V ₁	
	$\frac{d_1}{d_1} = -\frac{Cx \cdot \dot{c}}{4\mu_1}$	1 ₄ = - q ₁ cos q ₁	
	d_{2} - $\sin \alpha_{1}$	k = + sin 0(1	
	• = + g cos/3, cos(H)/ V1		

REPORT No 71/Stab./6 AVRO AIRERAFT LIMITED TECHNICAL DEPARTMENT PREPARED BY AIRCRAFT M.V. Jenkins Oct. 1957. CHECKED BY DATE CODING OF AERODYNAMIC DERIVATIVES 1 = 1, + 12 + 13 r = r1 + r2 + r3]= - czα / 27 LJ = - M > CW \ > M $\int_{\lambda} = -\frac{\sin i \cdot \delta T / \delta O}{m V_1}$ $r_{8^{z}} - c_{m_1} v_1^{z}$ $\int_{3} = + q_1 \sin q_1$ $r_3 = -\frac{V_1 \circ \delta T / \delta V_1}{Iy}$ m = m1 + m2 s = s1 + s2 m1= - Cz & / 4/4 s1= - cm α / τ²ς m2 = + cos q 82= - 8.3T/3 d $t = -\frac{\text{Cm. q. c}^2}{4 \text{ K}^2 \text{ V}^2}$ $n = + \frac{g \cdot \sin(H) \cdot \cos \phi_1}{V_1}$ p= p1 + p2 $u = -\frac{Cm_q \cdot \bar{c}^2}{4 K_y^2}$ P1= - Czq / 4/4, w = w1 + w2 + w3 p2= - cos q1 $w_1 = -c_m \frac{1}{r} / k \gamma_s$ q = q1 + q2 + q3 w₂ = - v₁² · cm₁ · op/o h 20 M. K2 91 - Cz 1 / 27 W3 = - 0 & T / 8 0(22 - Cz136 /9 p A6/T6. i nie - = EP

REPORT No. 71/Stah./6 AVRO AIRCRAFT LIMITED AIRCRAFT. M. V. Jenkins Oct. 1957 $x = + \frac{v}{2}$ { $\cos \alpha_1 \sin(\theta) \cos/\beta_1 - \sin \alpha_1 \cos \theta \cos \beta_1$ $-\sin\beta_1\sin\beta_1\cos\theta$ $y = -\frac{y_1}{z} \left\{ \sin \alpha_1 \sin \alpha_2 \cos \alpha_1 \cos \alpha_2 \cos \alpha_2 \cos \alpha_1 \right\}$ $z = + \frac{v_1}{c}$ { $\cos \alpha_1 \cos \theta_1 \cos \beta_1 + \sin \theta_1 \cos \beta_1$ + sin /3 sin Ø sin (H)

REPORT No. 71/Stab./6

DATE

PREPARED BY

TECHNICAL DEPARTMENT

AIRCRAFT:

Dct.1957 M. V. Jenkins CHECKED BY DATE

$$\overline{\nabla} (\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b} \lambda) + \mathcal{O}((\mathbf{c} + \mathbf{d} \lambda) + \mathbf{\theta} (\mathbf{e} + \mathbf{f} \lambda) + \mathbf{h}^{-}(\mathbf{g}) = 0$$

$$\overline{\nabla} (\mathbf{j} + \lambda \mathbf{k}) + \mathcal{O}((\mathbf{l} + \mathbf{m} \lambda) + \mathbf{\theta} (\mathbf{n} + \mathbf{p} \lambda) + \mathbf{h}^{-}(\mathbf{q}) = 0$$

$$\overline{\nabla} (\mathbf{r}) + \mathcal{O}((\mathbf{s} + \mathbf{t} \lambda) + \mathbf{\theta} (\lambda \mathbf{u} + \lambda^{2}) + \mathbf{h} (\mathbf{w}) = 0$$

$$\overline{V}$$
 (x) + Q(y) + Θ (z) + h^- (λ) = 0

 $A\lambda^5 + B\lambda^4 + C\lambda^3 + D\lambda^2 + E\lambda + E = 0$

and the solution of the above is reduced to

The determinant of the coefficients in brackets

REPORT NO 71/Stab./6

SHEET NO _____23

PREPARED BY

Oct. 1957 DATE

TECHNICAL DEPARTMENT

AIRCRAFT:

A = bm - kd

= a m

- j d

 $+ \left[u b m + b l - p b t - k c - u k d + k t f \right]$

M. V. Jenkins CHECKED BY

B = ubm + am + bl - pbt - kc - jd - ukd + k.tf

 $B_1 = m ; B_2 = -d.$

. .B = .B₁ + jB₂ + B₃

DATE

REPORT No 71/Stab./6

= C3

TECHNICAL DEPARTMENT

AIRCRAFT

PREPARED BY DATE M. V. Jenkins CHECKED BY Oct. 1957

C = + u a m + u b l + a l - p a t - p s b - n b t - b y q -jc -ujd - ukc + ksf + jtf + kte + kyg + Tdp - rmf + xdq - xmg

C = aum +al -apt

- jc - jud + jtf

+ rdp - rmf

+ubl -psb -nbt -byq -ukc +ksf +kte

+kyg +xdq -xmg

let $(um + 1 - pt) = C_1$; $(-c - ud + tf) = c_2$; (dp - mf)

let (+ubl-psb-nbt-byq-ukc+ksf

 $+kte + kyg + xdq - xmg) = C_{L}$

.. c = a c, + j c₂ + rc₃ + c₄

DATE

+ bywp

+ jte

AIRCRAFT:

+xmwf -xtqf +xtgp

.. D = a D, + j D 2 + r D 3 + D 4

-zwbm +zqbt -nat -nsb -pas

+ kse -wfky+gjy + kygu + rcp + rdn - rlf

- ayq -byqu -ujc +zwkd -zgkt +jsf

-me -xdwp +xcq +xdqu -xlg -xmgu

D = a (ul-nt-ps-yq)----aD₁

+ j (-uc +sf +te +gy)-----jD2 +r (+cp+dn-lf-me)-----rD3

(-zwbm + zqbt -nsb+bywp -byqu+zwkd-zgkt

-xlg -xmgu +xmwf -xtqf+xtgp)------D,

+kse -wfky +kygu - xdwp +xcq +xdqu

Oct.1957

M. V. Jenkins CHECKED BY

SHEET NO	25
PREPARED	ВΥ

REPORT No. 71/Stab./6

1
SHEET NO
 PREPARI

TECHNICAL DEPARTMENT

REPORT No. 71/Stab./6

SHEET NO 26

M. V. Jenkins Oct.1957

TECHNICAL DEPARTMENT

AIRCRAFT

CHECKED BY

E = -zwam - zwbl+zqat + zqsb - nas + aywp
+bywn - ayqu + zwjd + zwkc - zgjt - zgks
+ jse - weky - wfjy + gujy + rcn - zqrd +
zgrm

+ j (+ zwd - zgt + se - wfy + guy)------jE 2

+ r (+ cn - zqd + zgn - le + yqf - ygp)-- rE 3

(- zwbl + zqsb + bywn + zwkc - zgks - we ky
- xcwp - xdwn + xcqu - xlgu + xlwf
+ xmwe - xsqf - xtqe + xsgp + xtgn)--E/

 $E = *E_1 + jE_2 + rE_3 + E_4$

REPORT No 71/Stab./6 ALRO AIRCHAFT LIMITED TECHNICAL DEPARTMENT PREPARED BY DATE AIRCRAFT Oct.1957 M. V. Jenkins CHECKED BY DATE F = -zwal + zqas + aywn + zwj c - zgjs - wejy -zqre +zgrl-ryqe-rygn-xcvn +xlwe-xsqe+xsgn F = a (- z w 1 + z q s + y w n)-----aF1 F = * F1 + : F2 + r F3 + F4

REPORT No 71/Stab./6

SHEET NO .

M. V. Jenkins CHECKED BY

PREPARED BY

Oct. 1957 DATE

DATE

λ = k - ω1

R = k

AIRCRAFT

 $R_2 = (k^2 - \omega^2)$

 $R_3 = (k^3 - 3 k\omega^2)$

 $R_4 = k^4 - 6 k^2 \omega^2 + \omega^4$

 $R_5 = k^5 - 10 k^3 \omega^2 + 5 k\omega^4$

I, = w

I3 = 3 k2ω-ω3

I = 2 kw

 $I_4 = 4 (k^3 \omega - k\omega^3)$

 $I_{5} = 5 \omega k^{4} - 10 k^{2} \omega^{3} + \omega^{5}$

AVRO AIRCRAFT LIMITED
MALTON . ONTARIO

AIRCRAFT

TECHNICAL DEPARTMENT

REPORT No. 71/Stab./6

SHEET NO __

DATE

PREPARED BY

M. V. Jenkins CHECKED BY Oct. 1957 DATE

Imaginary

Real

Imaginary

 $AR_5 + BR_4 + CR_3 + DR_2 + ER_1 + F = 0$

 $A I_5 + B I_4 + C I_3 + D I_2 + E I_1 = 0$

Let $\begin{bmatrix} A R_5 + B_3 R_4 + C_4 R_3 + D_4 R_2 + E_4 R_1 + F_4 \end{bmatrix} = P$

Let $\begin{bmatrix} A & I_5 & + B_3 & I_4 + C_4 & I_3 + D_4 & I_2 + B_4 & I_2 \end{bmatrix}$

aB₁ R₄ + j B₂ R₄ + a C₁ R₃ + j C₂ R₃ + r C₃ R₃ + a D₁ R₂ + j D₂ R₂ + r D₃ R₂ + a E₁ R₁ + j E₂ R₁ + r E₃ R₁

+ a F₁ + j F₂ + r F₃ + P = 0 Real

Further simplifying let: $B_1 R_4 + C_1 R_3 + D_1 R_2 + E_1 R_1 + F_1 = R$

 $B_2 R_4 + C_2 R_3 + D_2 R_2 + E_2 R_1 + F_2 = S$

 $C_3 R_3 + D_3 R_2 + E_3 R_1 + F_3 = T$

.. a R + j S + r T + P = 0

* B₁ I₄ + j B₂ I₃ + * C₁ I₃ + j C₂ I₃ + r C₃ I₃

 $+ a D_1 I_2 + j D_2 I_2 + r D_3 I_2 + a E_1 I_1 + j E_2 I_1 + r E_3 I_1 + Q = 0$ Further Simplifying let:

 $B_1 I_4 + C_1 I_3 + D_1 I_2 + E_1 I_1 = U$

 $B_2 I_4 + C_2 I_3 + D_2 I_2 + E_2 I_1 = V$ $C_3 I_3 + D_2 I_2 + E_3 I_1 = W$

... U + j V + r W + Q = 0 Imaginary AIRCRAFT

REPORT No. 71/Stab./6

AIRCRAFT LIMITED

TECHNICAL DEPARTMENT

M. V. Jankins Oct.1957
CHECKED BY DATE

The assumption is made that the damping and frequency of the short period oscillation and the frequency of the phugoid are known accurately; also that the damping of the phugoid is known within large percentage tolerances since the convergence or divergence of the phugoid oscillation is small and difficult to measure.

The equation of the imaginary components of the phugoid is chosen since this minimizes the importance of the damping factor K of the phugoid oscillation when determining of the short period oscillation and let the unprimed values be relevant to the oscillatory roots of the phugoid.

$$a U' + j V' + r W' + Q' = 0$$

The above equations yield that:

$$\mathbf{r} = \frac{\left(\mathbf{S}^{\bullet} \ \underline{\mathbf{U}^{\bullet}} - \mathbf{V}^{\bullet}\right) \left(\mathbf{Q} \ \underline{\mathbf{U}^{\bullet}} - \mathbf{Q}^{\bullet}\right) - \left(\mathbf{P}^{\bullet} \ \underline{\mathbf{R}^{\bullet}} - \mathbf{Q}^{\bullet}\right) \left(\mathbf{V} \ \underline{\mathbf{U}^{\bullet}} - \mathbf{V}^{\bullet}\right)}{\mathbf{R}^{\bullet}} \left(\mathbf{S}^{\bullet} \ \underline{\mathbf{U}^{\bullet}} - \mathbf{V}^{\bullet}\right) \left(\mathbf{W} \ \underline{\mathbf{U}^{\bullet}} - \mathbf{W}^{\bullet}\right) - \left(\mathbf{T}^{\bullet} \ \underline{\mathbf{U}^{\bullet}} - \mathbf{W}^{\bullet}\right) \left(\mathbf{V} \ \underline{\mathbf{U}^{\bullet}} - \mathbf{V}^{\bullet}\right)$$

$$\frac{\mathbf{j} = \mathbf{Q}^{\mathsf{t}} - \mathbf{P}^{\mathsf{t}} \cdot \frac{\mathbf{U}^{\mathsf{t}} - \mathbf{r}}{\mathbf{R}^{\mathsf{t}}} - \mathbf{r} \cdot (\mathbf{T}^{\mathsf{t}} \cdot \frac{\mathbf{U}^{\mathsf{t}} - \mathbf{W}^{\mathsf{t}}}{\mathbf{R}^{\mathsf{t}}})}{\mathbf{S}^{\mathsf{t}} \cdot \frac{\mathbf{U}^{\mathsf{t}} - \mathbf{V}^{\mathsf{t}}}{\mathbf{R}^{\mathsf{t}}}}$$

$$\mathbf{a} = -\frac{1}{7} \left(\mathbf{j} \mathbf{A} + \mathbf{J} \mathbf{A} + \mathbf{J} \right)$$

AVRO AIRCRAFT LIMITED

MALTON ONTARIO

TECHNICAL DEPARTMENT

PREPARED BY

Ont. 1957

CHECKED BY

DATE

 $\frac{\partial Cx}{\partial M} = \frac{27}{M} \left\{ (a_2 + a_3 + a_4) - a \right\}$

 $\frac{\partial cz}{\partial M} = \frac{27}{M} \left\{ j_2 + j_3 + j_4 - j \right\}$

 $\frac{3 \text{ cm}}{3 \text{ cm}} = \frac{7^2 \text{ k}}{M} \left\{ (r_2 + r_3) - r \right\}$

DATE

TECHNICAL DEPARTMENT (Aircraft) AIRCRAFT:

Oct.1957 M. V. Jenkins CHECKED BY DATE

PREPARED BY

Conclusions

- Cmq , Czq (Cm. + Cmq) can be determined accurately from flight analysis of ehort period longitudinal oscillations. If phase relationship between normal acceleration and incidence can be measured very accurately then ($Ce._{q}^{*} + Cz_{q}$) may be determined.
- 3 Cz/3M, 3 Cm/3M can be determined if the frequency and damping of the phugoid is measured simultaneously with the requisite measurements for the analysis of the short period oscillation. It is assumed that the phugoid period may be measured accurately and the damping can only be measured within large tolerances.
- 3. introduction of height derivatives will improve the accuracy of the evaluation of 3 cz/M, 3 cm/M. At transonic and supersonic speede thie is known to be more essential.
- 4. The discussed digital computer programmes will greatly reduce the time to evaluate derivativee and largely eliminate human error.
- 5. The discussion in this report is relevant only to the aircraft in the pitch damper disengaged condition.