

or private sale without demand for performance with or without notice to the purchaser.

It is further agreed in said contract that the title should not pass to the purchaser until the amount was fully paid in cash.

It says that the purchaser has failed to make the payment as agreed or any part thereof; that he did not pay the \$50. on receipt of said car as therein agreed or the \$15. due Sept 14th, or any part of said payment, and that this plaintiff deems itself insecure and now elects to take said car and to sell the same as it may deem best.

It says that the said car is as above described is of the value of \$111. and that the plaintiff ought to recover as damages for the wrongful detention of said car \$50.; that the plaintiff is the owner of said car and has a special ownership therein to the amount of \$176. and that it is entitled under said contract to the immediate possession thereof; that the property is wrongfully detained by the defendant; that it has not been taken for a tax or fine against the plaintiff or any any order or judgment of the Court against it, nor seized under an execution/warrant or attachment against its property. That the plaintiff's cause of action has accrued within one year.

It says that by said contract and the default therein the defendant has become indebted to the plaintiff in the sum of \$176. with interest thereon from ^{Aug} ~~Sept~~ 14th 1929; that no part of said indebtedness has ever been paid.

Wherefore it prays judgment against the defendant for the said sum of \$176. with interest as aforesaid, for a writ of possession of said car; that the defendant has not property sufficient to satisfy the plaintiff's demand and that the execution of its demand will be rendered difficult by delay in obtaining judgment and return of the property. It prays for all general relief to which it may be entitled.

E. H. Haeger
Atty for Plff.

The affiant B F Norfleet that he is the President of the Plaintiff Harrodsburg Motor Company and that the statements in the foregoing complaint are true to the best of his knowledge, and that the defendant has not sufficient