

POLICE COURT OF HARRODSBURG

George Roach,

Plff

-vs- Answer and counterclaim

Robener Estis,

Deft

notes. That previous to their separation she had her own home furnished

The Deft, Robener Estill, for answer to the Plff's petition denies that the Plaintiff is the owner of the cooking stove, ~~xxmim~~ or the glass press or the Brussels carpet, or the grass rug, or the iron teakettle, or the stove pot, or the two zinc buckets, or two coal buckets, or glass bowl, or cracker bowl, or buggy horse hide robe, or sugar spoon, or the kitchen cabinet.

She denies that the stove is of the value of \$50. ^{sum}
or of any greater than \$10; that the glass press is of greater value than \$2. ; that the kitchen cabinet is of any value; that the Brussels value is of any greater value than \$1. that the grass rug is of any greater value than \$1. ; that the iron teakettle is of any greater value of 50 cts; that the stove pot is of any greater value than 50 cts. that the coal buckets are of any greater value than 25 cts; She denies that either she or the plaintiff is the owner of a glassbowl of any value; denies that she or the plaintiff is the yner of a cracker bowl of any value; denies that the plaintiff ever owned a silver sugar spoon of any value that ever came into the possession of the defendant. She denies that ~~thexBzkintkifx~~ she has the possession of the horse hide buggy robe; ~~szxkazxkzizxafzthzrakme~~

Wherefore she prays that the petition be dismissed and for her cost and all proper relief.

Paragraph 2;

The Defendant says, for further answer to the plaintiff's petition, that after her separation from the plaintiff and before her