

MERCER QUARTERLY COURT.

H. S. Mathis,

Plaintiff,

vs-

ANSWER OF THE
HENRY CLAY FIRE INSURANCE COMPANY.

R. W. Burns,

Defendant.

I.

The Henry Clay Fire Insurance Company denies that it is indebted to the plaintiff or that the plaintiff has any claim whatsoever against said Henry Clay Fire Insurance Company.

II.

The Henry Clay Fire Insurance Company, for answer to the order of attachment issued herein, states that it issued a policy of fire insurance to the defendant, R. W. Burns, but that the amount of indebtedness, if any thereunder, has not as yet been ascertained or fixed. The Henry Clay Fire Insurance Company, garnishee, further states that when said amount of indebtedness, if any, has been ascertained, fixed and determined, it will hold the same subject to the order of this Court, in accordance with the order of attachment issued herein and duly served upon said Henry Clay Fire Insurance Company.

Henry Clay Fire Insurance Company,

By Hite H. Huffaker,
Attorney.

Hite H. Huffaker states that he is attorney for the Henry Clay Fire Insurance Company, which Company is absent from Jefferson County and that the statements of the foregoing answer are true.

Hite H. Huffaker,

Subscribed and sworn to before me by Hite H. Huffaker, this
10th day of July, 1924.

Arthur A. Mungley