

Mercer Quarterly Court.

The B.F. Goodrich Rubber Co.,

Plff.

vs. Reply to answer and counterclaim

J.C. Royalty,

Dft.

The Plaintiff, for reply herein to the answer and counterclaim of Defendant, says it denies that under the contract of purchase of said goods, or at all, they were by ~~th~~ said company, or its agents, represented or warranted to be of first class quality. Plaintiff denies that said goods were not of the quality represented or warranted by it, or ~~th~~ that there was a breach of any warranty, or that by reason of any alleged breach of warranty of goods, ~~the~~ Dft was compelled to, or did return, a lot of goods, or ~~that~~ of ~~the~~ goods sold, he was, or is, damaged by reason of said alleged breach of warranty in ~~the~~ sum of \$75.00, or in any sum. He denies that of the goods returned the Plaintiff failed or refused to give Dft. credit for goods (returned) or charged him freight ~~or~~ discount or in any sum in the sum of \$24.18 not properly charged, or failed to give him credit for goods returned of the value of \$20.00, or any other sum.

Wherefore, Plaintiff prays as in his petition, and for all proper relief.

J.F. Vanarsdall,

Atty. for Plaintiff.