

with interest as aforesaid, and forna sale of the said two remain
ing cars for the payment of said debt.

Paragrah 2,

The plaintiff reaffirming the allegations in the
first paragraph and making same a part hereof as fully as if
copied herein, for further cause of action states that in the year
1925 and 1926 it sold and delivered to the ~~plm~~ defendant at his
apacial instance and request goods, wares and mechandise, to the
amount of \$23.75, no part of which has ever been paid, and for
which the plaintiff promised to pay the said sum.

Wherefore it prays judgment for the said sum of
\$23.75 and for its cost and for all proper relief.

E. H. Gutter
Atty for Plff.

The affiant B F Norfleet states that he s the
General Manager of the plaintiff, Harrodsburg Implement Company

That the defendant Bill King has no property in this State subject
to execution or not enough property subject to execution to pay
plaintiff's demand : that he has left the State with the fraudulent
intent, to cheat, hinder and delay his creditors, and to prevent the
service of process on him : that he so conceals himself that a
process cannot be served on him. That the statements in the fore

going petition are true. *That said claims are just and that*

Plaintiff ought to recover the amounts as set forth in petition
B. F. Norfleet

Subscribed and sworn to before me by B F Norfleet this
April 1st 1926.

Ben C. Allen

Exxm