

with interest as aforesaid, and forna sale of the said two remaining cars for the payment of said debt.

Paragrah 2,

The plaintiff reaffirming the allegations in the first paragraphs and making same a part hereof as fully as if copied herein, for further cause of action states that in the year 1925 and 1926 it sold and delivered to the ~~plain~~ defendant at his apacial instance and request goods,wares and mechandise, to the amount of \$23.75, no part of which has ever been paid, and for which the plaintiff promised to pay the said sum.

Whereforeit prays judgment for the said sum of \$23.75 and for its cost and for all proper relief.

*E. H. Gucker*

Atty for Plff.

The affiant B F Norfleet states that he s the General Manager of the plaintiff, Harrodsburg Implement Company That the defendant Bill King has no property in this State subject to execution or not enough property subject to execution to pay plaintiff's demand : that he has left the State with the fraudulent intent,to cheat,hinder and delay his creditors, and to prevent the service of process on him : that he so conceals himself that a process cannot be served on him. That the statements in the fore

going petition are true. *That said claims are just and that plaintiff ought to recover the amounts as set out in petition*

B. F. Norfleet

Subscribed and sworn to before me by B F Norfleet this April 1st 1926.

*Ben C. Allin*

~~XXX~~