

Mercer Circuit Court

Wm Hamiltons Adm^r vs Plff

vs

Plummers & Rely

J M Crossfield vs Defts

- 1 The Plff comes and demurs to the amended and supplemental answer filed herein by Deft Crossfield for cause says said answer does not state facts sufficient to constitute a defense or counter claim or set off.
- 2 The sale of the land by Smith to Deft Crossfield was void. also the same under execution, as admitted & pleaded by Deft, this being true the rents are not due said Deft Crossfield but to Smith. therefore he cannot claim these rents as due which was to be an offset or counter claim against the alleged view of Plff.
- 3 The damages pleaded is no offset or counter claim. Wherefore he Bels for Plff

And the Plff Ld Parish as Adm^r of Wm Hamiltons for answer to the counter claim & set off of Deft Crossfield. says he has no knowledge or information definite as to how his intestate Wm Hamilton got possession of the land sold by Smith by verbal contract to Deft Crossfield, but he suffers and charges