

sale, the judgment will show for itself, is to the effect that this Deft has a prior lien on said land in the first part out of the sale money said \$484 with interest & costs. The Deft knows nothing as to what induced Deft to purchase at Court sale, but he denies that his ratification & he as a Deft is indebted to Deft in any sum for rents or an occupation of said place. He denies that he should not execute said sale bond, or have the sale set aside & rescinded. He denies that it would be unjust or inequitable to force a sale of said land, under & in accordance with the judgment herein. He denies that said \$484 debt or any part of it has been enlarged, purchased or paid. Wherefore &c

Par 2 And for further ^{reply} ~~answer~~ the Deft denies that while said Hamilton had possession of said land he injured same by cutting or selling or destroying the timber thereon or by wasting out the same to tenants or inequitable tenants, to the extent of \$200 or any other sum.

Par 3 And for further reply this Deft admits that as claimed by Deft that the sale of the