

Norwich Circuit Court

Wm Hamiltons Adm

Plff

vs

Heimann & Piffy

J M Crossfield & Co

Defts

- The Plff comes and demurs
- 1 to the amended and supplemental answer filed herein by Heft Crossfield & Co cause says said answer does not state facts sufficient to constitute a defence or counter claim or set off.
 - 2 The sale of the land by Smith to Heft Crossfield was void. also the same under execution, as admitted & pleaded by Heft, this being true the rents are not due said Heft Crossfield but to Smith. Therefore he cannot claim these rents as due which would be an offset or counter claim against the alleged lien of Plff.
 - 3 The damages pleaded is no offset or counter claim. Wherefore the Bill for Plff And the Plff Lth Parish as Adm of Wm Hamilton for answer to the counter claim & set off of Heft Crossfield. says he has no knowledge or information definite as to how his intestate Wm Hamilton got possession of the land sold by Smith by verbal contract to Heft Crossfield, but he suffers and charges it was in this way. Said Hamilton he came the purchaser under the general