

sale, the judgment will show for itself, is to the effect that this Deft has a lien on said land is the first part out of the sale money said \$484 into actual debts. The knows nothing as to what induced Deft to purchase at Court sale, but he denies that his ratification & he as Adm is indebted to Deft in any sum for rents or an occupation of said place. He denies that he should not execute said sale bond, or have the sale set aside & voided. He denies that it would be unjust or inequitable to force a sale of said land, under an acknowledgment with the judgment herein. He denies that said \$484 debt or any part of it has been enlarged or paid. Wherefore

Par 2 And for further ^{reply} ~~answer~~ the Deft denies that while said Hamilton had possession of said land he injured same by cutting, or selling, or destroying the timber thereon or by wasting out the same to causes or inequitable tenants, to the extent of \$200 or any other sum.

Par 3 And for further reply this Deft admits that as claimed by Deft that the sale of the said land to him by Smith was void, and he avers the fact that said sale