

Adams & Langford,

Plaintiffs

VS- Petition

Robert Mitchell,

Defendant

Plaintiffs, Adam & Langford, state that the defendant, by his promissory note, dated January 1<sup>st</sup>, 1921, which he executed and delivered to these plaintiffs promised and agreed to pay plaintiffs the sum of \$89.60 with interest thereon from date until paid. Plaintiffs state that no part of the principal of said note has been paid and the interest thereon from said date is due and unpaid with the exception of \$1.00 paid September 17th., 1921.

Plaintiffs state that the ~~#####~~ this is an action upon a contract being a contract to pay money on a note; that said amount is just; that defendant has no property in this state subject to execution or not enough thereof to satisfy and pay said indebtedness and the collection of the demand will be endangered by delay in obtaining judgment and return of no property found. Wherefore, Plaintiff for judgment against defendant for the sum of \$89.60 with interest thereon from January 1<sup>st</sup>., 1921 subject to a credit of \$1.00 ; for costs of this action and for a general order of attachment of defendant's property including a summons against the garnishee, L.E. Myers Company and for all other relief to which they may appear to be entitled.

*Ernest W. Drayton*  
Attorney for Plaintiffs

Affiant, Will Langford, states that he is one of the Plaintiffs in the above action; that the statements of the petition are true to the best of his knowledge and belief.

Subscribed and sworn to before me by Will Langford this 3<sup>rd</sup>., day of July 1925.

*Will Langford*  
*Ben C. Allard*