

A. 29. There was not unreasonable time, and in fact, that is a very short time in which to get out that class of work, considering the amount of work has to be done to it in the composing room, in the printing room, and in the bindery.

Q. 30. Do you know the cause of the delay in Mr. Chelf not receiving his goods by January 1st, or the first part of January, 1919.

A. 30. The principal cause of the delay is the fact that Mr. Chelf held the proof, or at least, delayed sending it in and getting it to us from December 12th to January 10th. If he had given us this proof back promptly after December 12th, we would have been able to deliver him the goods within thirty-one to thirty-five days.

Q. 31. Do you know anything about the delay of the express company?

A. 31. I do not except Mr. Chelf's statements that he did not receive the goods until the latter part of February, 1919, but we delivered part of them to the express company on February 13th, and the balance of them to the railroad company on February 14th, and if there was a delay in the transportation companies, we know nothing about it.

Q. 32. Did you receive any further communication from Mr. Chelf with reference to the delivery of the catalogues and envelopes other than the correspondence you have furnished and identified, and if so, produce such further communications and have it identified as Exhibit "C"?

A. 32. On February 5, 1919, we received from the Western Union a telegram, which is marked Exhibit "C", and handed to the Notary to be attached to my deposition.

Q. 33. You may state whether or not the directions in this telegram caused you to send 2000 catalogues and envelopes by express, and the remainder of the shipment by freight?

A. 33. It is.

W. E. Royse