

20th June 1993

A.D. DIRKZWAGER

3161 BC RHODEN,

GRANJE NASSAULAAN 38

Stuart Cook
Lower Farm House
Hambledon
Surrey GU8 4HE

Dear Stuart,

thank you for your learned expose
of the 16th instant on today's messy world.
In hindsight I begin to think that the Commun-
ist threat from the Russian Bear and from the
Chinese tiger wasn't all that bad. It was a
convenient arrangement and politicians could
deal with it in its simplicity. All that has
changed. The political, the financial, the
social and the economic aspects come to
latter heads in a globally interacting market.
It has become all too complex for our western
leaders. We seem to have forgotten the basic
rules. In the older days when one gentleman
had a disagreement with another gentleman,
he invited the other for a "duel" i.e. a
simple combat. There were agreed strict
rules for the fighting of a simple combat
and above all both adversaries fought
with the same type of weapon. Fair is fair.
Don't you believe that it is unfair when
European manufacturers have to compete
with manufacturers from emerging countries.
Free trade implies No Rules at all. Why
should those countries, whose governments

London. Slave labour for their workers, whose governments condone environmental pollution have free access to the purchasing power of 300 million Europeans? Yes, they can sell into Europe when they meet our free rules, our strict rules on competition like the case is in a single market. Maybe I have turned into a limited protectionist to save jobs in Europe. The common market, a single trading block must protect itself against outsiders who attempt to sell their goods against dump prices in Europe whilst keeping the prices high in their own domestic markets. Equally important is to limit the importation of goods produced in low cost countries if these importations cause danger for our industries. Why do we have to endanger the carefully created social welfare (in 4 decades) because we have to compete with a Chinaman who only owns a tin cloth or with a worker from the former East Block who has been deprived of any democratic reforms since World War II and has such a low standard of living that he even cannot afford pet food for his dog? In all probability he will eat it himself. Multinationals, International investment funds etc will object against this restriction view because they then will not be able to continue their 'arbitrage' between markets. The weakness of today's world is that the multinationals have accu-

2. mislaid too much resources, and have dwarfed state power. We have seen that Central Banks cannot ward off huge speculation against their currencies. The point is that these supra national private institutions should not destroy the social fabric of our society. Yes, I have contributed during my working life to support the social Democratic system. Maybe 70% or 80% of my income is being redistributed by the Dutch government. In return ^{we get} a country in which social justice prevails, there ^{are} hardly any beggars, good education for everyone, health care for everyone, we care for old age pensioners, we have a 40 work week and work councils in short a decent and civilised community. Tens of thousands of foreigners envy us and seek refuge in the Netherlands.

Why do away with the acquired civilisation? I do not want to compete with a Korean contract worker and it is not necessary.

Yes, I agree you cannot at the same time have your "social chapter" (take) and at the same time Free Trade (eat it). But it is not the social chapter which is the bogy man of politics, it is the veiled Free Trade, advocated by the respected multi nationals, which upsets the equilibrium. Once again, I believe

- that free trade can only exist between Trading blocks who apply ^{about} the same rules in their Home markets. That is the Crux of the tiresome GATT negotiations.

Another important approach to create jobs in Europe is to promote innovation. Historically Europeans are good in developing new products. Let us enhance the circumstances for new Technologies which require high skilled labour and capital for the financing of new high tech industries which are difficult to export to low labour cost countries. As you can read I am not sharing the view of John Major on the "Social Chapter", for ~~me it is~~ too much

of a "Héja vu" 18th Century laissez faire laissez aller Capitalistic view. The invisible hand of the Market is the self regulating force, according to J. Major. No way!! The EEC has about 300 million decent people (no Asiatic contract workers, poor as devils) with an enormous purchasing power. Europe has to nurture that purchasing power otherwise we end up all on the waste dump like Arabian Turk workers: 300 million of them, which brings me to my own predicament.

3
Adrian D. Duinker has given himself
for 200 percent at the service of Noyas Bank.
Rotterdam branch had contributed in
1992 to the bottom line and the first
five months we were above budget - about
break-even. I have been made redundant
for no other reason than centralisation
of the Dutch operations. Why me?
Sitting on a raft in the middle of the
Ocean with 11 people. The raft can only
hold 10 people what would be the
most ethical approach to single out one
person? First of all you ask for any
volunteers? If there aren't any, then
you ^{would} apply the bad luck of the draw, wouldn't
you? Not so with the Noyas Bank.
The man with the highest salary, which
the bank previously valued highest is
number one nominated to become
redundant. I am reduced to a simple
cost factor. How trivial?
The other day I immensely enjoyed
reading Oscar Wilde's Picture of Dorian
Gray. I quote from the book: "It is not
good for one's moral to see bad acting"

It is only a slight variation but in the same vein: "It is not good for one's moral to experience crummy management"

Brian Constant called today (it is now 21st June) He thought NY 2.5 million is, in his opinion, a wee bit too much for an amicable settlement.

I answered that any amount less keeps me in poverty and the amount is peanuts for the Lloyd's Bank.

I give Constant Cum Sui's the opportunity to show that they hopefully possess one ounce of morality in their bodies. If the bank does pay my calculated damage then I shall not complain about the height of

CEO Pitman's salary. After all due to a number of his wrong decisions the bank lost a lot of money, and he is still employed. I greet you with a

"Summa ius, summa iuria."
and love to Ursula.

Adrian