



EPA Office of Air Quality Planning And Standards

AIRData - NTI Facility Count Report

January 30, 2002

1 Rows

See [Disclaimer](#)

Wisconsin NTI Air Pollution Point Sources^{*} - All HAPs Summed (1996)

Counties Selected: Portage

Pollutant Emissions In Pounds Per Year

Row #	EPA Region	State	County	Urban / Rural	Number of Facilities	% Of Total Facilities	Pollutant Emissions	% Of Total Emissions
SORT	▲ ▼	▲ ▼	▲ ▼	▲ ▼	▲ ▼	▲ ▼	▲ ▼	▲ ▼
1	5	WI	Portage	Urban	10	100.00	271,494	100.00
Grand Total					10		271,494	

Page 1 of 1

Export this report to a text file [i](#)

Create comma-delimited or tab-delimited values, compatible with PC spreadsheets and databases.

Comma

Tab

New Report Criteria

Disclaimer: This AIRData report was produced using data extracted from the June 2001 version of EPA's National Toxics Inventory (NTI) database of hazardous air pollutant (HAP) emissions for year 1996. The EPA compiled the 1996 NTI using various sources of data. The five primary sources of 1996 NTI data are: (1) state and local HAP inventories developed by state and local air pollution control agencies, (2) existing databases related to EPA's Maximum Achievable Control Technology [programs to reduce HAP emissions](#), (3) [Toxic Release Inventory](#) data, (4) emissions estimated by using mobile source methodology developed by experts in EPA's Office Of Transportation And Air Quality, and (5) area source emission estimates generated using emission factors and activity data. Extensive [documentation is available](#) for the NTI. The NTI is based partially on emission data obtained from State and local agencies, but it is not a database of official State emissions data. Please contact the appropriate [State agency](#) to obtain information on a State's official emission inventory. Please contact the [EPA](#) to report errors.

Consistency: The 1996 NTI is a composite of emission estimates generated by state and local regulatory agencies, industry, and EPA. Because the estimates originated from a variety of sources and estimation methods, as well as differing purposes, they will in turn vary in quality, including pollutants, level of detail and geographic coverage. However, this compilation of emissions estimates represents the best available information to date.

Variability in quality and accuracy of emission estimation methods in the 1996 NTI: The accuracy of emission estimation techniques vary with pollutants and source categories. In some cases, an estimate may be based on a few or only one emission measurement at a similar sources. The techniques used and quality of the estimates will vary between source categories (e.g., some have been better studied than others) and between major, area and other, and mobile source sectors.

Readers are cautioned not to infer a qualitative ranking order of geographic areas based on AIRData reports. Air pollution levels measured in the vicinity of a particular monitoring site may not be representative of the prevailing air quality of a county or urban area. Pollutants emitted from a particular source may have little impact on the immediate geographic area, and the amount of pollutants emitted does not indicate whether the source is complying with applicable regulations.

* Point source - heavy industry, power plant, etc.