

[2006.1.166 Obverse] [written in same handwriting as letter from J. S. Howard]

[in black ink, a circular stamp]

YORK

14

MAY

1829

U.C.

[addressed to]

Thomas A Stayner

[?] [?] M. Y.

Quebec

[green rectangular stamp below]

H P

[additional obverse 2006.1.166.ob1 written in different handwriting on bottom left triangular portion of folded paper] [possible words missing at end of lines?]

here attempted to describe  
[C? I?]of returning Postage upon  
never authorizes [sic] it to be done  
any Post Master without a special  
Himself after he as inquired into the

[signature unreadable someone else has printed the word "Stayner"]

22 May

J.S. Howard Esq.

[Additional Reverse 2006.1.166.rev1]

[letter has been folded multiple times and this is written in different handwriting in upper left triangular portion of folded paper]

Private

My D<sup>r</sup> Sir

The two cases are very dissimilar  
with respect to Mr. Dunn's letter I should have  
decided exactly as Mr. Sutherland did but  
as McKenzie's, the writer of the letter  
had for his object an intention to injure  
the party by making him pay postage  
for what may be termed a package  
of rubbish \_ I know that Sir  
Francis Freeling has drawn the  
line of distinction which I have  
He is however very [?]  
Such pretence and  
In England by  
authority from  
[?]

[Reverse of 2006.1.166]

York Post Office, U Canada

13. May 1834 [1839?]

Sir

Your letter of the 5 Inst. I have received  
And in compliance therewith I shall return the  
3/9 postage to Mr. McKenzie on the packet which  
he received from Kingston \_ I would however  
by leave to state as my reason for refusing  
to do so without reference to you was, that on a  
[known?] occasion [answering?] Mr. Sutherland twice [tried?], a  
packet came addressed to the Receiver Gen<sup>l</sup> of  
this Province – covering letters to one of his Clerks,  
and which letters were of an abusive nature  
[from?] [for?] Mr. Dunn the Rec<sup>r</sup> Gen<sup>l</sup> applied to Mr. Sutherland  
Through Mr. Allan my [predication?] for the [remission?]  
of the postage and which M<sup>r</sup> Sutherland  
not think proper to comply with and if I  
mistake not, gave as his reason that the  
Department could not be answerable for the  
[?] conduct of any individual.

I remain always

Sir,

Your very obt Servant

J. S. Howard

Thos A Stayner Esq