Debussy THREE IMAGES FOR ORCHESTRA

Debusy's three occhestral Images have one thing in commor: all deal with falk thems. Gigest goes back to English sources, while Iberia reflects the folk music of Spain, and Roades de Printerput her folk music of France. The form of the series is highly unusual. It consists of a Idel-scale symphonic pieces in the movements — Iberia flanked by two short pieces each in a single movement. The three Images were composed between 1906 and 1912. Iberia was the first to be completed and performed, while Gigues was the last, although Gignes was

published as No. 1 of the group.

The late critic, Osear Thompson, insisted that Giguet was not orchestrated by Debussy, but by André Caplet, and this may explain why that musician has been especially eloquent in praise of this score. Caplet reminds and the goes on to speak of "Sad gigues, tragic gigues, the portrait of a soul in pain utering its slow, lingering lamontation on the reed of an obos d'amore. A wounded soul so reticent that it dreads and shuss all byrical effusions, and quickly hides its sols behind the mask and quickly hides this sols with the mask of the mas

The jig-rhythm does not appear until nearly 50 bars have gone by, and it is not persistent even then, but is handled with great subflety and variety on its successive appearance. Gigars is bound together by a quite mostly, and it has been suggested that this tune may be a transformation of an English folk song; the rhythm of the jig is certainly English or Celtic in its origin, and to use an English model with an English dance-mater is logical and the contract of the contra

enough.

Ibéria is not only the biggest of the three Images but the boldes in outline, the most brilliant in sound, and the most well-defined in popular melodic content. In three movements are entitled in the Streets and by the Wayside, the Perlumes of the Night, and The Morning of a Festian Day; the last two movements run together of a Festian Day; the last two movements that together the sensuess habarrers of the nocturne after the marchmusic of the festival has begun.

Debussy once spent three hours in San Sebastian; otherwise he never set foot in Spain, but he remains one of the great masters of Spanish musical atmosphere. This was part of his tradition; ever since Garmen burst on the world in 1870, French composers have taken Spain as a province of their own; vismess Lalo's Symphonic Engagnole, Chabrier's Engagnole, Life and Service works of Debussy himself as the Sorier dans Gereade, to say onching of the Reproduct Engagnole, Life and the Service of the Reproduct Service of Service of the Reproduct Service Office Service of the Reproduct Service Office Office Service Office Office Service Office Office

"Echoes from the villages, a kind of sevillana the generic theme of the work — which seems to San Francisco Symphony Orchestra

Pierre Monteux

Conductor

float in a clear atmosphere of scintillating light; the intoxicating spell of Andalusian nights, the feative gairty of a people dancing to the joyous strains of a bunder of guitars and bunderries. It all this whirls in the sir, approaches and recodes, and our imagination is continually kept awake and dazzled by the power of an Intensely expressive and richly varied

Rondes de Printempa bears on its printed socre a kind of moto translated from an old Tucan folk song called La Maggiolate: Vive le Mai, bienneuse soit le Mai use son gonjalon sausage, "Hurrath for May, welcome May with its wild streamer.") The entire piece is based upon the French folk-tuen Pous n'ivers plus as bois, which is varied, transformed, and put through a great variety of symphonic posco. Orchesters-wise, this is the quietest and at the same time the sub-lest of the three Images. Trumpets and trombones are absent, and the entire texture is one of scintillating, often densely matted in-traumental atoms; in this respect Rondes de Printempa is quite different in character from the arther gray, nelhandly Gigussa and the sharply eiched fast movements of

When Rondes de Printemps was first performed, the program notes bore the following remarks by Charles Malherbe:

These are real pictures in which the composer has endeavored to convey, suralls, impressions received by the eye. He attempts to blend the two forms of sensation in order to intensity them. The modely, with its infinitely varied rhythms, corresponds to the multiplicity of lines in a drawing the orchestra the multiplicity of lines in a drawing the orchestra the multiplicity of lines in a drawing the orchestra the multiplicity and lines in a drawing the orchestra the multiplicity and lines in a drawing the orchestra the supplies its own tone color, Just as the painter delights in contrasts of tone, in the play of light and shade, so the musician takes pleasure in the shock of unexpected dissonances and the fusion of unusual timbres; he wants us to visualize what he makes us hear, and the pure be holds in his fingers becomes

a brush. This is musical impressionism of a very special kind and of a very rare quality."

There are those who believe that these lines were inspired by Debussy himself, but that "the definiteness and the trite, elegant style of these notes cannot have entirely satisfied this subtle artist, who disapproved of all such indiscreet analytical notations." Nevertheless parallels have often been drawn between Debussy's music and the paintings of the contemporary French impressionists. Such parallels are, at bottom, intangible, and impossible to demonstrate objectively. Debussy loved nature and used color with the utmost refinement. So did Claude Monet. Efforts have been made to drive the point further. It has been observed that Debussy deploys his counterpoint in such fashion that each instrument in his orchestral tissue stands out clearly, even though many instruments may be used at once, and that Monet, rather than mixing his colors on his palette, places small dots of pure pigment close together on his canvas so that the beholder's eye will fuse them. Perhaps there is some parallel here, but it is worth pointing out that Monet's dots are supposed to be blended by the spectator and that Debussy's lines are carefully calculated to avoid blending at all costs; Monet's method, then, is the polar opposite of Debussy's rather than its analogue.

Debusey himself, while working on the Images, said he was trying to "achieve something different — an effect of reality — what some imbeciles call impressionism, a term that is utterly misapplied, especially by the critics, for they do not hesitate to use it in connection with Turner, the finest creator of mysterious effects in the

whole world of art."

For Debussy, then, impressionism and realism were one and the same, while the devices of Turner, which certainly belong in the same bracket with those of the impressionist painters, are romantic. This, of course, is partly a quilbles over words. In the long run, however, chaining high the control of the theory of the control of the control of the control of the theory of the control of the control of the control of the form of the control of the control of the control of the form of the control of the control

Notes by ALFRED FRANKENSTEIN

SIDE 1

HAND 1—Par les rues et par les rhemins BAND 2—Les parfums de la nuit Le motin d'un jour de lête

SIDE 2

EAND 2-Rondes de Printemps

BAND I-Gimer

Recent recordings by Mr. Monteux and the San Francisco Symphony include Franck's Symphony in D Minor (LM-1065) and two Beethoven symphonies: No. 2, in D, Op. 36 (LM-1024) and No. 8, in F, Op. 33 (LM-43).





LONG 33 PLAY